## Optimality condition for infinite horizon optimal control problem

## A.O. Belyakov

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Moscow, Russia e-mail: a\_belyakov@inbox.ru

Let X be a nonempty open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , U be an arbitrary nonempty set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let us consider the functional

$$J(u(\cdot), x_0, t_0, T) := \int_{t_0}^T g(x(t), u(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

that may be unbounded when  $T \to \infty$ , subject to the dynamic constraint

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$
(1)

where  $u(t) \in U$  and  $x(t) \in X$  exists for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Such control  $u(\cdot)$  and trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  are called *admissible*. Functions f and g are differentiable w.r.t. their first argument, x, and together with those partial derivatives are defined and locally bounded, measurable in t for every  $(x, u) \in X \times U$ , and continuous in (x, u) for almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

In addition to the maximum principle we find a new form of necessary conditions for the two following concepts of optimality. An admissible control  $\hat{u}(\cdot)$  for which the corresponding trajectory  $\hat{x}(\cdot)$  exists on  $[t_0, +\infty)$  is

overtaking optimal (OO) if for all admissible controls  $u(\cdot)$ 

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \left( J(u(\cdot), x_0, t_0, T) - J(\hat{u}(\cdot), x_0, t_0, T) \right) \le 0,$$

weakly overtaking optimal (WOO) if for all admissible controls  $u(\cdot)$ 

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \left( J(u(\cdot), x_0, t_0, T) - J(\hat{u}(\cdot), x_0, t_0, T) \right) \le 0.$$

**Proposition.** Let for all  $\tau \geq t_0$ 

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \liminf_{T \to \infty} \left( \frac{J(\hat{u}(\cdot), \hat{x}(\tau) + \alpha\zeta, \tau, T) - J(\hat{u}(\cdot), \hat{x}(\tau), \tau, T)}{\alpha} - \langle \hat{J}_x(\tau, T), \zeta \rangle \right) \ge 0,$$

with all perturbations of the initial conditions,  $x(\tau) = \hat{x}(\tau) + \alpha \zeta$ , such that the resulting trajectories are feasible,  $x(t) \in X$  in  $[\tau, \infty)$ , and the following upper limit being finite

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \left| \hat{J}_x(\tau, T) \right|$$

where we denote the derivative of the functional w.r.t. initial condition as

$$\hat{J}_x(\tau,T) := \int_{\tau}^{T} K^*(t,\tau) \,\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t),\hat{u}(t),t) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

If control  $\hat{u}$  is OO, then for all  $\tau \in [t_0, \infty)$  and  $u \in \hat{U}$ 

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \sup \left( \mathcal{H}(\hat{x}(\tau), u, \tau, \hat{J}_x(\tau, T), 1) - \mathcal{H}(\hat{x}(\tau), \hat{u}(\tau), \tau, \hat{J}_x(\tau, T), 1) \right) \le 0.$$

If control  $\hat{u}$  is WOO, then for all  $\tau \in [t_0, \infty)$  and  $u \in \hat{U}$ 

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \left( \mathcal{H}(\hat{x}(\tau), u, \tau, \hat{J}_x(\tau, T), 1) - \mathcal{H}(\hat{x}(\tau), \hat{u}(\tau), \tau, \hat{J}_x(\tau, T), 1) \right) \le 0,$$

where we use the Hamilton-Pontryagin function

$$\mathcal{H}(x, u, t, \psi, \lambda) = \lambda g(x, u, t) + \langle \psi, f(x, u, t) \rangle,$$

brackets  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote scalar product of two vectors, and  $\hat{U} = \hat{U}(\hat{x}(\tau), \tau)$  is the set of control values  $u(\tau)$  of all feasible pairs  $(u(\cdot), x(\cdot))$  satisfying, for some scalar  $\lambda$  and vector  $\psi_0$  such that  $(\lambda, \psi_0) \neq 0$ , the maximum condition:

$$\mathcal{H}(x(t), v, t, \psi(t), \lambda) - \mathcal{H}(x(t), u(t), t, \psi(t), \lambda) \le 0, \quad \forall v \in U,$$

the state equation (1) with  $x_0 = \hat{x}(\tau)$  and  $t_0 = \tau$ , and the adjoint equation:

$$-\dot{\psi}(t) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x}(x(t), u(t), t, \psi(t), \lambda), \quad \psi(\tau) = \psi_0.$$

**Corollary.** Let, in addition to the conditions of the Proposition, there exists a number  $\beta(\tau) > 0$  such that for all  $x(\tau) \in X$  satisfying the inequality  $|x(\tau) - \hat{x}(\tau)| < \beta(\tau)$ , the initial value problem (1) with  $u = \hat{u}$  and the initial condition  $x(t_0) = \hat{x}(\tau)$  at  $t_0 = \tau$  has an admissible solution solution, i.e.  $x(t) \in X$  for all  $t \geq \tau$ . Then in the Proposition  $\hat{U} = U$ .

The work was supported by a Russian Foundation for Basic Research under project 15-01-08075a.