


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Molecular analysis of *Spiophanes bombyx* complex (Annelida: Spionidae) with description of a new speciesVasily I. Radashevsky^{1*}, Victoria V. Pankova¹, Vasily V. Malyar¹, Tatyana V. Neretina^{2,3}, Jin-Woo Choi^{4*}, Seungshic Yum⁵, Céline Houbin⁶

1 National Scientific Center of Marine Biology, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia, **2** White Sea Biological Station, Faculty of Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia, **3** Kharkevich Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, **4** Research Institute of Oceanography, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, **5** Ecological Risk Research Division, Korea Institute of Ocean Science & Technology, Geoje, Republic of Korea, **6** Station Biologique de Roscoff, CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Roscoff, France

* radashevsky@gmail.com (VIR); Choi6212@snu.ac.kr (JWC)

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Radashevsky VI, Pankova VV, Malyar VV, Neretina TV, Choi J-W, Yum S, et al. (2020) Molecular analysis of *Spiophanes bombyx* complex (Annelida: Spionidae) with description of a new species. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0234238. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238>

Editor: Sergio N. Stampar, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP/FCL/Assis), BRAZIL

Received: December 16, 2019

Accepted: May 19, 2020

Published: July 1, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Radashevsky et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Financial support was provided to TVN by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (<https://www.rfbr.ru/rffi/eng> Grant 18-05-60158) and the Russian Science Foundation (<http://rscf.ru/en/> Grant 16-14-10173), to VVP & VVM by the Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (<http://www.febras.ru/2012-01-25-06-37-30.html> Grant 18-4-040), to VIR by the Research

Abstract

Spiophanes bombyx (Claparède, 1870) from the Gulf of Naples, Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy, was the first described *Spiophanes* with fronto-lateral horns on the prostomium. It was also considered the only horned species occurring in European waters. Our sequence data of five gene fragments suggest the presence of two horned sibling *Spiophanes* species in northern Europe: *S. cf. bombyx* in the North and the Norwegian seas, and *S. cf. convexus* in Brittany, northern France, and Bay of Biscay, northern Spain. *Spiophanes cf. bombyx* worms are genetically close to a single examined specimen of *S. bombyx* from Venice Lagoon, Italy but their conspecificity should be verified by further study. Our sequence data show that horned *Spiophanes* from the North Pacific are genetically distant from horned European species, and that *S. uschakowi* Zachs, 1933, originally described from the Sea of Japan (East Sea) is a valid species. The data also suggest the presence of two horned sibling *Spiophanes* species in the North East Pacific: *S. hakaiensis* Radashevsky & Pankova, n. sp. distributed from Alaska south to about Point Conception, and *S. norrisi* Meißner & Blank, 2009, distributed from San Francisco Bay south to Baja California Sur, Mexico. *Spiophanes* from South America, morphologically similar to *S. norrisi*, are suggested to belong to a new species. Molecular data also suggest the presence of two sibling species among the worms from northern Europe identified by morphology as *S. kroyeri* Grube, 1860. Worms from the Barents Sea and northern part of the North Sea are tentatively referred to as *S. cf. kroyeri*; worms from the northern and central parts of the North Sea and from the Bay of Biscay, northern Spain, are tentatively referred to as *S. cf. cirrata* M. Sars in G.O. Sars, 1872. Sequence data also show that *S. duplex* from California is genetically different from morphologically similar worms from South America. The South American worms are referred to resurrected *S. soederstroemi* Hartman, 1953 which was originally described from off Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, and then considered as a junior synonym of *S. duplex*. Analysis of divergence times of *Spiophanes* lineages suggested that the origin of the most recent

Council of Norway (<https://www.forskningsradet.no/en/> Project 280724 “Environmental monitoring of Arctic coastal ecosystems: Sensitivity to petroleum pollution (Arctic EcoSens)”), the BEQUALM/NMBAQC Scheme, UK (<http://www.nmbaqcs.org/>), and the Canadian Museum of Nature (<https://nature.ca/en/home> Scientific Training Program, 2019 Visiting Scientist Award), to SY by the Collaborative Genome Program of the Korea Institute of Marine Science and Technology Promotion (KIMST) funded by the Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries (<https://www.mof.go.kr/eng/index.do> No. 20180430). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

common ancestor of horned *Spiophanes* with metameric nuchal organs was around 11.1 mya (95% HPD: 5.1–19.0 mya) and that the divergence of the North Atlantic and North Pacific lineages was around 7.9 mya (95% HPD: 4.1–13.3 mya). The North Atlantic lineage was estimated to have diverged 4.8 mya (95% HPD: 2.2–8.6 mya), resulting in the origin of *S. cf. bombyx* and *S. cf. convexus*. The North Pacific lineage was estimated to have diverged first by the isolation and speciation of *S. norrisi* 1.7 mya (95% HPD: 2.3–1.0 mya), and then by the isolation and speciation of *S. uschakowi* and *S. hakaiensis* n. sp. 1.3 mya (95% HPD: 2.0–0.7 mya). The estimates place the divergences soon after maximum glacial period in the North Pacific (2.4–3.0 mya).

Introduction

For a long time, polychaetes were believed to have high morphological variability and ecological plasticity and many species were considered as widely distributed or cosmopolitan. New approaches and techniques show, however, that many of these “cosmopolitans” comprise groups of similar cryptic or sibling species with much more limited variability, plasticity and geographic distribution than was previously suggested (see Read’s comments on Polychaeta in Appeltans *et al.* [1]; [2–4]).

Wide or cosmopolitan distribution has often been reported for members of one of the largest polychaete families, Spionidae Grube, 1850. Examples included *Aonides oxycephala* (Sars, 1862), *Boccardia polybranchia* (Haswell, 1885), *Dipolydora armata* (Langerhans, 1880), *Dipolydora coeca* (Örsted, 1843), *Dipolydora flava* (Claparède, 1870), *Dipolydora socialis* (Schmarda, 1861), *Laonice cirrata* (M. Sars, 1851), *Paraprionospio pinnata* (Ehlers, 1901), *Polydora ciliata* (Johnston, 1838), *Prionospio cirrifera* Wirén, 1883, *Prionospio steenstrupi* Malmgren, 1867, *Pygospio elegans* Claparède, 1863, *Scolecopsis squamata* (Müller, 1806), *Spio filicornis* (Müller, 1776), and *Spiophanes bombyx* (Claparède, 1870). Common to all these species is that they were originally briefly described in the nineteenth century or even earlier. For example, the original description of *S. squamata* contains only three sentences in Latin [5]. Those original descriptions usually provided not specific but general characters shared also by other species from remote locations. Misidentification of those worms from remote locations incorrectly “extended” the distribution of the stem species and created so-called “cosmopolitans due to incorrect identification”. It seems to be a destiny of old polychaete species to become cosmopolitans. Since most of the old species were originally described from Europe, consequently most of the cosmopolitan records are also European. Recent taxonomic revisions of *Laonice* Malmgren, 1867, *Paraprionospio* Caullery, 1914 and *Spiophanes* Grube, 1860 by Sikorski [6], Yokoyama [7] and Meissner [8], respectively, clarified some cases but uncertainties still remain.

One of the old *Spiophanes* species, *S. bombyx* was originally described from the Gulf of Naples, Italy, by Claparède [9, 10] (as *Spio bombyx*). The main diagnostic feature of the species was a pair of long horns extending from the fronto-lateral parts of the prostomium ([9]: Fig 2; [10]: Fig 2). Since then, *Spiophanes* with similar horns were reported as *S. bombyx* from northern Europe and Iceland [11–34, 8, 35], Atlantic coast of North and Central America [36–46], Atlantic coast of South America [47–52], Pacific coast of North America [53–66], Pacific coast of South America [67, 64, 68–73], Hawaii [74, 75], Pacific coast of Asia [76–95], Indian Ocean [96, 97], Atlantic coast of Africa [98–103], Australia and New Zealand [104–107], and Antarctica [108, 109]. Recent studies, however, suggested that these reports may include a series of

cryptic species. Morphological examination of worldwide material and a molecular analysis (partial sequences of the nuclear 18S rDNA and mitochondrial COI) confirmed the distribution of *S. bombyx* in the North and Mediterranean Seas and suggested that this species should not be considered as cosmopolitan [110, 8, 111].

Zachs [112] described *Spiophanes* with long fronto-lateral horns on the prostomium from Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan (East Sea), Russia, as *S. uschakowi* Zachs, 1933. The original description of the species was very brief and the type material is in poor condition [111]. Annenkova [113] suggested *S. uschakowi* to be a synonym of *S. bombyx* but Uschakov [77, 78] consistently treated the two species as different. Later authors reported from the Sea of Japan (East Sea) and adjacent areas only *S. bombyx* without comment on the taxonomy of *S. uschakowi* [76, 114, 83, 87, 88, 115]. Meißner & Blank [111] examined some earlier reports of *S. bombyx* from the American and Asian Pacific. They were uncertain about worms from the Asian Pacific because of the poor material available but, despite this, assigned specimens from the American Pacific to a new species, *S. norrisi* Meißner & Blank, 2009.

During systematic surveys on spionid polychaetes worldwide, we collected *Spiophanes* from the Atlantic and the Pacific Oceans, and the Mediterranean Sea. The main purpose of the present study was to clarify the specific identity of the horned *Spiophanes* from the North Pacific. Herein, we describe and illustrate adult morphology of *S. uschakowi* from the type locality in Peter the Great Bay, Russia, and use molecular analysis to provide new insight on the phylogenetic relationships among *Spiophanes* species.

Materials and methods

Collections, material and morphological study

Collections were made in shallow waters in the Sea of Japan (East Sea), Russia; around the Korean Peninsula; British Columbia, Canada; California, USA; Norwegian Sea, Norway; North Sea, Northumberland, UK; English Channel, Brittany, France; Venice Lagoon, Adriatic Sea, Italy; Chile, and Paranaguá Bay, Paraná, Brazil (Tables 1 and S1). Collected sediments were washed in the field on a 500 µm mesh sieve and *Spiophanes* worms retained in the residue were removed and examined alive under light microscopes in the laboratory. For molecular analysis, specimens were preserved in 95% ethanol. Voucher specimens were fixed in 10% formalin solution, rinsed in fresh water and then transferred to 70% ethanol. After examination, formalin preserved specimens were deposited in the polychaete collections of the Museum of the Institute of Marine Biology, National Scientific Center of Marine Biology (MIMB), Vladivostok, Russia; the White Sea Branch of the Zoological Museum of the Lomonosov Moscow State University, the White Sea Biological Station (WS), Poyakonda, Russia; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, France; Senckenberg Museum (SMF), Frankfurt am Main, Germany; Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM-AHF), Los Angeles, CA, USA, and the United States National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM), Washington, D.C., USA. We additionally examined *Spiophanes* specimens deposited in the polychaete collections of the above mentioned museums and also in the Zoological Institute (ZISP), St Petersburg, Russia; Natural History Museum (NHMO), Oslo, Norway; Icelandic Institute of Natural History (IINH), Reykjavík, Iceland, and the California Academy of Sciences, Department of Invertebrate Zoology (CASIZ), San Francisco, CA, USA. Additional material of *Spiophanes* from the North Sea, Germany, was kindly provided by Dagmar Lackschewitz; from the Adriatic Sea, Croatia, by Barbara Mikac; and from Argentina by María Martha (Pitu) Mendez. Additional material of *S. norrisi* from California, USA, and *S. kroyeri* from Norway, originally preserved in ethanol, was kindly provided by Dorothy Norris and the University Museum of Bergen, Norway (ZMBN), respectively. Norwegian material was

Table 1. Sampling location data, museum registration numbers and GenBank accession numbers of sequences.

Species	Location	Coordinates	Date	Voucher ^a	GenBank/BOLD accession numbers ^b				
		Reference			COI	16S	18S	28S	Histone 3
1. <i>Trochochaeta multisetosa</i>	North Sea, Norway	58.24767°N, 6.53107°E	3 Feb 2016	ZMBN 126365		MN193552, 53		MN193539 41	MN193939 41
2. <i>T. multisetosa</i>	Askeröfjord, Sweden	58.1179°N, 11.828°E	11 May 2017	SIO BIC A6333	MN313649^c		MN296517^c		
3. <i>T. multisetosa</i>	Drake's Bay, California, USA	[120]	1 Apr 2003	VIR 23025			DQ790097	DQ790070	
4. <i>Spiophanes berkeleyorum</i>	offshore Long Beach, California, USA	33.66834°N, 118.29762°W	17 Jul 2017	MIMB 39031 (VIR 20548)			MN186816	MN193542	MN193942
5. <i>S. berkeleyorum</i>	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	[111]		HH99, 100, 112	GQ202713, 14		GQ202718		
6. <i>S. bombyx</i>	Adriatic Sea, Venice Lagoon, S. Andrea, Italy	45.4389°N, 12.39359°E	29 Jul 2014	MIMB 28156 (VIR 18675)		MG878899		MG878928	
7. <i>S. cf. bombyx</i>	North Sea (north, central and south east parts)	[111]		HH61, 62, 83, 84, 90–92	GQ202702 08		GQ202717		
8. <i>S. cf. bombyx</i>	North Sea, Northumberland, England, UK	55.113°N, 1.413°W	19 Nov 2008	WS 2365 (VIR 13492)	KM998751	MG878898	KM998759	MG878927	MG874412
9. <i>S. cf. bombyx</i>	Norwegian Sea, Kvaløya Is., Norway	69.69104°N, 18.8737°E	11 Sep 2015	MIMB 28157 (VIR 19618)	MG874445	MG878900	MG913227	MG878929	MG874413
10. <i>S. duplex</i>	offshore Long Beach, California, USA	33.66834°N, 118.29762°W	17 Jul 2017	MIMB 39030 (VIR 23217)			MN186817	MN193543	MN193943, 44
11. <i>S. cf. convexus</i>	Bay of Biscay, Spain	[121]		VIR 23007	KT307700				
12. <i>S. cf. convexus</i>	Bay of Morlaix, Brittany, France	48.6502°N, 3.8651°W	27 May 2014	MIMB 28155 (VIR 18567)		MN193554		MN193544 46	MN193945
13. <i>S. cf. convexus</i>	Bay of Morlaix, Brittany, France	48.70572°N, 3.93395°W	6 Sep 2016	MIMB 36704 (VIR 20103)	MG874446 –48	MG878901 –03	MG913228 –30	MG878930 –32	MG874414 –16
14. <i>S. cf. kroyeri</i>	Barents Sea, Norway	72.3075°N, 32.342667°E	4 Aug 2013	ZMBN 108407 (VIR 19667)	MG874451 –53		MG913233 –35	MG878935 –37	MG874419 –21
15. <i>S. cf. kroyeri</i>	Barents Sea, Norway	71.776833°N, 33.540333°E	7 Aug 2013	ZMBN 108408 (VIR 19669)	MG874454 –56	MG878906, 07	MG913236 –38	MG878938 –40	MG874422 –24
16. <i>S. cf. kroyeri</i>	North Sea	[111] as <i>S. kroyeri</i>		HH64, 94	GQ202709, 10		GQ202719		
17. <i>S. cf. cirrata</i>	North Sea	[111] as <i>S. kroyeri</i>		HH18, 19, 63	GQ202696, GQ202711, 12		GQ202720		
18. <i>S. cf. cirrata</i>	Bay of Biscay, Spain	[121] as <i>S. kroyeri</i>			KT307701				
19. <i>S. aff. kroyeri</i>	Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean, abyss	[122]		CP160, 291		EU340080, 82	EU340094, 96		
20. <i>S. norrisi</i>	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	[111]		HH97, 98, 103, 105 109, 111	GQ202697 701		GQ202716		
21. <i>S. norrisi</i>	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	37.8377°N, 122.62°W	11 Sep 2017	LACM:DISCO: 7567 (VIR 23045)	DISA959 19				
22. <i>S. norrisi</i>	Baja California Norte, Mexico	32.494°N, 117.163°W	26 Jan 2005	MBI SCCWRP 556 (VIR 23044)	CMBIA 423 427				

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Species	Location	Coordinates	Date	Voucher ^a	GenBank/BOLD accession numbers ^b				
		Reference			COI	16S	18S	28S	Histone 3
23. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	Cook Inlet, Alaska, USA	59.468°N, 151.553°W	23 May 2011	11BIOAK 1443	MF121352				
24. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	British Columbia, Canada	51.6656°N, 128.0797°W	22 Jul 2017	LACM AHF Poly (VIR 20560)		MN193555	MN186818	MN193547	MN193946
25. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	Calvert Is., British Columbia, Canada	51.644°N, 128.1195°W	24 Jul 2017	MIMB 36707 (VIR 20583)	MN520841	MN193556	MN186819	MN193548	MN193947
26. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	Calvert Is., British Columbia, Canada	51.6763°N, 128.1222°W	4 Aug 2017	Holotype CMNA 2019 0105 (VIR 20666)	MN414081	MN193557	MN186820	MN193549	MN193948
27. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	37.7125°N, 122.5648°W	13 Sep 2010	MIMB 39022 (VIR 17935)	MG874464	MG878914	MG913241	MG878948	MG874432
28. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	37.6588°N, 122.5615°W	15 Sep 2010	MIMB 39021 (VIR 17934)	MG874457–63	MG878908–13	MG913239, 40	MG878941–47	MG874425–31
29. <i>S. hakaiensis</i> n. sp.	offshore San Francisco, California, USA	37.6588°N, 122.5615°W	13 Sep 2010	MBI SCCWRP 430 (VIR 22931)	CMBIA 494 503				
30. <i>S. pisinnus</i>	New South Wales, Australia	[111]		HH95	GQ202715		GQ202721		
31. <i>S. uschakowi</i>	Peter the Great Bay, Russia	42.89236°N, 132.73486°E	19 Oct 2011	MIMB 28164; WS 2345 2349 (VIR 17242)	KM998746–50	MG878915–19	KM998760–63	MG878949–53	MG874433–37
32. <i>S. uschakowi</i>	Peter the Great Bay, Russia	42.89236°N, 132.73486°E	15 Jul 2013	MIMB 28165 (VIR 18040)		MN193558, 59		MN193550, 51	MN193949, 50
33. <i>S. viriosus</i>	Queensland, Australia	[123]		AM W.44566	KP636518		KP636519		
34. <i>S. soederstroemi</i>	Paranaguá Bay, Paraná, Brazil	25.552°S, 48.415°W	9 Jul 2015	MIMB 28151 (VIR 19356)	MG874449, 50	MG878904, 05	MG913231, 32	MG878933, 34	MG874417, 18
35. <i>Spiophanes</i> sp. A	East China Sea, South Korea	33.5°N, 124.00°E	11 Nov 2015	MIMB 39027 (VIR 19944)		MG878920	MG913242	MG878954	MG874438
36. <i>Spiophanes</i> sp. A	East China Sea, South Korea	32.00°N, 125.00°E	2015	MIMB 39028 (VIR 19955)	MG874465		MG913243	MG878955	MG874439
37. <i>Spiophanes</i> sp. A	East China Sea, South Korea	33.00°N, 124.00°E	2015	MIMB 39029 (VIR 19961)	MG874466		MG913244	MG878956	MG874440

Sequences obtained in the present study are given in plain; sequences provided by other authors are given in **bold**.


^aVouchers by Meißner & Blank ([111]: Table 1) were cited as in their paper without explanation about HH abbreviation.

^bTwo last digits are shown for the second and other numbers in a successive series.

^cCollected by Fredrick Pleijel, sequenced in Greg Rouse' laboratory


<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.t001>

collected by the MAREANO Project. Material from British Columbia, Canada, was collected by the MarineGEO Hakai-Smithsonian BioBlitz-2017. Information about samples used for molecular analysis is given in Table 1. Complete available information about type specimens is given in the Results preceding the descriptions or comments on the species; information about all newly collected material and museum samples examined during this study is given in S1 Table. A complete list of the museums and other collections (and their acronyms) holding the examined or reported samples is given in S2 Table. S1 Table also includes material from the Norwegian, North, Mediterranean and Aegean seas and North Pacific reported by Meißner & Blank [111] and from the North Sea identified by Dieter Fiege, Markus Böggemann and Karin



Meißner which is deposited in the SMF and other polychaete collections and was only partially re-examined by the first author (VIR). It also includes records of *S. bombyx* (= *S. uschakowi*) from Japan provided by Imajima [88, 116–118], for which no museum numbers were reported, records of *S. bombyx* (= *S. cf. norrisi*) from Chile, Argentina and the Falkland Islands provided by Carrasco [119] and Blake [48], and records of *S. bombyx* (here referred either to *S. bombyx* or *S. cf. convexus*) from Spain provided by Meißner [8]. To link some sequences used in the molecular analysis in the present study with the corresponding data, unique numbers from the first author's database (VIR) are given to samples in the [S1 Table](#) (at the end of each record). These numbers without letters precede specific names on the phylogenetic trees (Figs 1–3).

6 / 54



software and the geodata provided by the OpenStreetMap Project (<https://osmdata.openstreetmap.de>).

Formalin-fixed specimens of *S. uschakowi* were critical-point dried in carbon dioxide, coated with gold palladium, and viewed with a LEO 440 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with a digital camera at the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA.

Formalin-fixed specimens of *Spiophanes* spp. were stained with an alcohol solution of methyl green (MG), examined complete or dissected to observe internal structures, and photographed using microscopes equipped with digital cameras. Final plates were prepared using CorelDRAW® 2017 software.




Fig 3. The maximum clade credibility chronogram of horned *Spiophanes* inferred by the BEAST analysis of *COI* sequences. Posterior probabilities are shown on the branches below the divergence time estimations. Bars at nodes indicate highest posterior density (95% HPD) intervals for the divergence times. Species names are followed by the names of the collecting locations. Information about numbers with letters following specific names are given in Table 1. The numbers without letters following specific names are unique numbers from the VIR database linking the individuals on the tree with the sampling data in the S1 Table. Abbreviations: BS opening of the Bering Strait 5.4–5.5 mya; MG maximum glacial period in North Pacific 2.4–3.0 mya.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g003>

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

We used the DNA Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit and the ReliaPrep gDNA Tissue Miniprep System (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) for DNA extraction and purification, with the standard protocol for animal tissue. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of nuclear *18S* rDNA, D1 region of *28S* rDNA and *Histone 3*, and mitochondrial *16S* rDNA gene fragments was accomplished with the primers and conditions described by Radaševsky *et al.* [124, 125]. We used primers 5' GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG 3' and 5' TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA 3' to amplify the mitochondrial gene fragment of *cytochrome C oxidase subunit 1 (COI)* [126]. Cycling parameters were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 40 s, 72°C for 60 s, with a final extension of 72°C for 5 min.

Purified PCR products were bidirectionally sequenced on an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using the BigDye Terminator v 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) and the same primers as for PCR. The consensus sequence of each gene region of each specimen was assembled from the two complementary sequences using SeqScape v 2.5 (Applied Biosystems). GenBank accession numbers of the obtained sequences are given in Table 1.

Phylogenetic analysis

We aligned DNA sequences using the MAFFT v7.2 software with the default settings (automatically chosen algorithms) [127, 128]. Ambiguous positions and gaps were excluded from subsequent analysis using GBlocks [129]. The nucleotide datasets were combined using the supermatrix approach. The number of variable and parsimony informative sites in the datasets, the uncorrected values of sequence divergence (pairwise distances, p) both within and between groups were calculated in MEGA 5.1.

We used MrBayes 3.2.6 via the CIPRES web portal [130] for the Bayesian analysis of 10,000,000 generations, four parallel chains and sample frequencies set to 500, in two separate runs. Based on the convergence of likelihood scores, 25% sampled trees were discarded as burn-in. The analysis of the combined data set was partitioned and the models of substitution were determined using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in Modeltest 3.7 [131]: GTR+I+G for 16S, 28S and *Histone 3*, TVM+G for 18S, and HKY+I+G for *COI*.

We also included in the analysis sequences of *Spiophanes* spp. obtained by Meißner & Blank [111], Mincks *et al.* [122], Meißner & Götting [123], Aylagas *et al.* [121], and some sequences from GenBank and BOLD (Table 1). We performed two analyses: the *COI* analysis to compare our data with those reported by previous authors, and a concatenated analysis using five genes to get better resolution of relationships among *Spiophanes* species. The five-genes analysis was based on sequence data of the mitochondrial *COI*, 16S rDNA, nuclear 18S, 28S rDNA, and *Histone 3* most of which were obtained in the present study. In this analysis, we included *COI* sequences obtained by other authors if they comprised more than 500 bp, and 18S sequences if they comprised more than 1600 bp. Of 46 individual concatenated sequences obtained in the present study, 30 sequences comprised fragments of four to five genes, and 39 sequences comprised fragments of three genes. The analyses were rooted using the sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa* (Örsted, 1843). In the Bayesian analysis of 18S sequences of various spionids by Mincks *et al.* ([122]: Fig 8), *Trochochaeta* Levinsen, 1883 appeared sister to *Spiophanes*.

The haplotype network was constructed based on a statistical parsimony approach using TCS [132].

Divergence time estimation

Divergence time estimation of the horned *Spiophanes* lineages was performed with BEAST 1.8.0 [133] running in the CIPRES Science Gateway [130]. For the analysis, we used *COI* sequences comprising more than 500 bp. The in-group included horned *Spiophanes* with metameric nuchal organs, all members of a monophyletic group according to the five-genes analysis. The analysis was rooted using the sequences of *S. soederstroemi* that in five-genes analysis appeared most closely related to the clade of the horned *Spiophanes*.

The Tamura-Nei model (TrN) of nucleotide substitution with gamma-distributed rate variation (+G) was applied to *COI* data set with base frequencies estimated during the analysis [134]. We used the path sampling maximum likelihood estimator implemented in BEAST 1.8.0 [135, 136] to determine the appropriate molecular clock models for data set (uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock, random local clock or strict clock). After model selection, a relaxed molecular clock using the uncorrelated log-normal model [137] was applied with a Birth-Death process speciation prior for branching rates [138]. We applied substitution rate of 4.1%/MY with a SD of 3.5–4.7%/MY, suggested for northern polychaetes by Loeza-Quintana *et al.* [139], to convert genetic branch lengths to absolute times. The final analysis consisted of two independent MCMC analyses; each chain was run for 40,000,000 generations with parameters sampled every 4000 steps to ensure effective sample size (ESS) values above 200 for all

parameters. The first 10% of trees in each independent run were discarded as burn-in and the remaining trees were combined to produce an ultra-metric consensus tree using LogCombiner and TreeAnnotator 1.8.0 (BEAST package).

Nomenclatural acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “<http://zoobank.org/>”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:B69BEC0A-2B46-4F46-99E4-73FD7D502A38. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS, ResearchGate.

Results

Molecular analysis

COI analysis and haplotype network. The alignment of *COI* sequences obtained in the present study and those from GenBank and BOLD comprised 267 bp with 106 (39.7%) variable sites, of which 93 (34.8%) sites were parsimony informative. The Bayesian analysis resulted in a partially resolved consensus tree (Fig 1B).

The analysis revealed two sister groups among specimens from northern Europe identified by morphology as *S. bombyx*: one from the North and the Norwegian seas (referred to as *S. cf. bombyx*), and another from Brittany, northern France, and the Bay of Biscay, northern Spain (referred to as *S. cf. convexus*). The *p*-distances among individuals of these groups ranged from 12.73% to 14.98%. The ingroup *p*-distance values ranged from 0.00% to 1.5% and from 0.75% to 1.87%, respectively.

Horned *Spiophanes* from the North Pacific formed a well supported clade (PP = 1) closely related to the clade of horned *Spiophanes* (*S. cf. bombyx*—*S. cf. convexus*) from northern Europe. The relationships within the North Pacific clade were partially resolved, with one clade well supported (PP = 0.97), comprising one group of specimens from California, USA, and Baja California Norte, Mexico (referred to as *S. norrisi* according to the type locality of the species in Baja California Sur, Mexico), and another group *S. uschakowi* from the Sea of Japan (East Sea). The *p*-distances between individuals of these groups ranged from 6.74% to 8.99%. The rest of the specimens within the North Pacific clade did not form a monophyletic group, but, according to the results of the five-genes analysis (see below), they are referred to *S. hakaensis* n. sp. The three groups of North Pacific *Spiophanes* did not share any haplotype (Fig 1A).

Two sister groups were revealed among specimens from northern Europe identified by morphology as *S. kroyeri*: one from the Barents Sea and northern part of the North Sea (tentatively referred to as *S. cf. kroyeri*), and another from the northern and central parts of the North Sea and from Bay of Biscay, northern Spain (tentatively referred to as *S. cf. cirrata*). The *p*-distances between individuals of these groups ranged from 6.37% to 8.61%. The ingroup *p*-distance values ranged from 0.00% to 1.12% and from 0.75% to 1.5%, respectively.

Five-genes analysis (COI, 16S, 18S, 28S, and Histone 3). The five-genes analysis did not include sequences provided by Meißner & Blank [111] because they were too short and did not fit the accepted criteria (*COI* > 500 bp, *18S* > 1600 bp). The combined alignment, with

gaps excluded, comprised in total 3018 bp, including 244 bp (93.5%) for 16S rDNA, 1656 bp (99%) for 18S rDNA, and 287 bp (98.6%) for 28S rDNA, 297 bp (100%) for *Histone 3* and 534 bp (100%) for *COI*. It contained 677 (22.4%) variable sites, of which 615 (20.4%) sites were informative. The average *p*-distances for the individual gene fragments between taxa are given in S3–S7 Tables. The Bayesian analysis resulted in a fully resolved tree with high support of all branches (Fig 2B).

The analysis revealed two sister groups among specimens from northern Europe identified by morphology as *S. bombyx*. Specimens from the North and the Norwegian seas (referred to as *S. cf. bombyx*) were genetically close to single examined specimen of *S. bombyx* from Venice Lagoon, Adriatic Sea, Italy (*p*-distances ranging from 00% for 28S to 2.46% for 16S). Specimens from Brittany, northern France, and the Bay of Biscay, northern Spain, are referred to as *S. cf. convexus*. The *p*-distances between individuals of *S. cf. bombyx* and *S. cf. convexus* ranged from minimal 0.3% for 18S rDNA to maximal 14.07% for *COI*. The maximum ingroup *p*-distance values were for *COI* sequences: 0.94% and 1.69%, respectively.

Horned *Spiophanes* from the North Pacific formed three distinct groups within a clade sister to the European horned *Spiophanes*. The groups comprised *S. uschakowi* from the Sea of Japan (East Sea), worms from Alaska to San Francisco Bay, California, described below as *S. hakaensis* n. sp., and worms from San Francisco Bay, California, and Baja California Norte, Mexico, referred to *S. norrisi*. *Spiophanes uschakowi* and *S. hakaensis* n. sp. formed a clade sister to *S. norrisi*. The *p*-distances between individuals of *S. uschakowi* and *S. hakaensis* n. sp. ranged from minimal 0.91% for 18S rDNA to maximal 7.68% for *COI*. The maximum ingroup *p*-distance values were for *COI* sequences: 0.94% and 1.12%, respectively.

Three groups were distinguished among specimens identified by morphology as *S. kroyeri*: one from the Barents Sea and northern part of the North Sea (referred to as *S. cf. kroyeri*), second from the northern and central parts of the North Sea and from the Bay of Biscay, northern Spain (referred to as *S. cf. cirrata*), and a third from the Southern Ocean (referred to as *S. aff. kroyeri*). The *S. cf. kroyeri* group appeared sister to *S. cf. cirrata*. The average *p*-distances for the individual gene fragments between these groups are given in S3–S7 Tables. *Spiophanes* aff. *kroyeri* appeared distant from the clade *S. kroyeri*—*S. cf. cirrata*.

Two sister groups were revealed among specimens identified by morphology as *S. duplex*: one from California, USA (referred to as *S. duplex*), and another from Paraná, Brazil (referred to as *S. soederstroemi*). The *p*-distances between individuals of these groups ranged from 0.18% for 18S to 5.05% for *Histone 3*.

Horned *Spiophanes* with metameric nuchal organs formed a clade sister to the clade of *Spiophanes* with bell-shaped prostomium and nuchal organs as a pair of long parallel ciliary bands extending over about 15 anterior chaetigers (Fig 2B).

The analysis showed identity (*p*-distance = 0.00%) of 18S and 28S rDNA sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa* from Norway, Sweden and California, USA.

An additional analysis showed identity (*p*-distance = 0.00%) of our sequence of *S. berkeleyorum* from Southern California and short fragments of 18S rDNA (490 bp) of *S. berkeleyorum* from Northern California obtained by Meissner & Blank [111] (Fig 2A).

Divergence time estimation. The alignment of *COI* sequences obtained in the present study and those from GenBank and BOLD comprised 534 bp with 170 (31.8%) variable sites, of which 160 (94.1%) sites were parsimony informative. The BEAST analysis resulted in a fully resolved consensus tree (Fig 3) with the same topology as the tree from the five-genes Bayesian analysis (Fig 2B). The analysis suggested that the origin of the most recent common ancestor of horned *Spiophanes* with metameric nuchal organs was around 11.1 mya (95% HPD: 5.1–19.0 mya) and that the divergence of the North Atlantic and North Pacific lineages was around 7.9 mya (95% HPD: 4.1–13.3 mya). The North Atlantic lineage was estimated to have diverged

4.8 mya (95% HPD: 2.2–8.6 mya), resulting in the origin of *S. cf. bombyx* and *S. cf. convexus*. The North Pacific lineage was estimated to have diverged first by the isolation and speciation of *S. norrisi* 1.7 mya (95% HPD: 2.3–1.0 mya), then by the isolation and speciation of *S. uschakowi* and *S. hakaensis* n. sp. 1.3 mya (95% HPD: 2.0–0.7 mya).

Morphology and biology

***Spiophanes bombyx* (Claparède, 1870).** *Spio bombyx* Claparède, 1870a [9]: 485–487, pl XII, Figs 2, 2A–2H; [10]: 121–123, pl XII, Figs 2, 2A–2H. *Fide* Mesnil [11].

Spiophanes bombyx: Fauvel [140] (Part.): 41. Rullier [141]: 209. Bhaud [142]: 235; [143]: 290–291, 306 (larval phenology). Katzmann [144]: 133. Cognetti-Varriale & Zunarelli-Vandini [145]: 42. Gambi & Giangrande [146]: 851. Sardá [147]: 346. Somaschini [148]: 223. Martin *et al.* [149]: 5. Simboursa & Nicolaidou [150]: 87. Aleffi *et al.* [151]: 221. Ayari & Afli [152]: 89; [153]: 73. Dagli *et al.* [154]: 155. Castelli *et al.* 20[155]08: 357. Meißner [8] (Part.): 54–58. Meißner & Blank [111] (Part.): 7–11, Figs 3 and 4C. Mutlu *et al.* [156]: 32. Lorenti *et al.* [157]: 331. Serrano *et al.* [158]: 339. Çinar *et al.* [159]: 2114; [160]: 1462. Mikac [161]: 123. Delgado-Blas *et al.* [162] (Part.): 340.

Type material. ITALY, Tyrrhenian Sea, Gulf of Naples, presumably 40.8287°N, 14.2474°E, types lost.

Synopsis. Up to 38 mm long, 1 mm wide for 120 chaetigers. Prostomium with long fronto-lateral horns, posteriorly pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over it as caruncle. Occipital antenna absent. Nuchal organs metameric, on a series of anterior chaetigers; first pair of metamers as oblique ciliary bands from prostomium to end of chaetiger 2; succeeding metamers shorter. Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 15. Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, usually quadridentate, with small subterminal hood. Pygidium with small ventral fleshy pad and one pair of lateral cirri. Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9, gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13, slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in chaetigers 5–8, straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14. Organs on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 each opening to exterior via semi-circular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader, on chaetiger 6 via small round hole, on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit. Frontal edge of each fiber spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 entire, rounded to blunt, or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes. Digestive tract with gizzard-like structure. Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body. Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards. Gonochoristic. Oocytes lentiform, each with honeycombed envelope and 18–20 cortical alveoli regularly arranged in a peripheral circle. Spermatids interconnected in tetrads. Spermatozoa short-headed aqua-sperm with plate-like acrosomes. Fertilization in sea water. Larval development holopelagic, planktotrophic. Larvae with two pairs of red eyes on prostomium and a midventral ciliated pit on chaetiger 2.

Remarks. In the original description of *S. bombyx*, Claparède ([9]: 485, as *Spio bombyx*) noted that “It does not seem rare in Naples. At least, it lives in numerous societies. I received hundreds of them one day, lodged side by side in tubes of black mud.” He reported worms up to 38 mm long, 1 mm wide. The type material of *S. bombyx* does not exist any longer, probably because Claparède did not deposit the examined specimens at any museum. After Claparède, the species has rarely been reported from Italy and seems not to be very common in the Gulf of Naples at present. We were unable to find a sequence of *S. bombyx* from the Mediterranean in the literature. We collected one individual of *S. bombyx* from Venice Lagoon, Italy, and sequenced it for 16S and 28S gene fragments (see Table 1).

Morphological examination and molecular analysis of horned *Spiophanes* performed in the present study discovered two sibling species in northern European waters which we refer to as




Fig 4. Maps showing records of *Spiophanes* spp. in North Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. (A) *Spiophanes bombyx* (Claparède, 1870) (red and green symbols): red star type locality: Gulf of Naples, Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy; red triangle single specimen sequenced in the present study; green circles adults identified based on the morphology only. *Spiophanes cf. bombyx* (yellow and pink symbols): pink triangles specimens sequenced in the present study; pink squares specimens sequenced by Meißner & Blank [111]; yellow circles adults identified based on the morphology only. *Spiophanes convexus* (turquoise symbols): turquoise star type locality of *S. convexus*: Meira, Pontevedra, Galicia, Spain; turquoise rhomb *S. cf. convexus* sequenced by Aylagas *et al.* ([121], as *S. bombyx*); turquoise triangles *S. cf. convexus* sequenced in the present study; turquoise circles adults identified based on the morphology only. (B) *Spiophanes kroyeri* Grube, 1860 (red, green and yellow symbols): red star type locality: Greenland Sea, off Iceland; yellow circles adults identified based on the morphology only; green triangles *S. cf. kroyeri* sequenced in the present study; green square *S. cf. kroyeri* sequenced by Meißner & Blank ([111], as *S. kroyeri*). *Spiophanes cirrata* M. Sars in G.O. Sars, 1872 (turquoise symbols): turquoise star type locality: Drøbak, Oslofjord, Norway; turquoise squares *S. cf. cirrata* sequenced by Meißner & Blank ([111], as *S. kroyeri*); turquoise rhombs *S. cf. cirrata* sequenced by Aylagas *et al.* ([121], as *S. kroyeri*). *Spiophanes reyssi* Laubier, 1964: pink star type locality: NE Lacaze Duthiers, Gulf of Lion, Mediterranean France.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g004>

S. cf. bombyx and *S. cf. convexus*. Their morphology, taxonomy and the distribution are discussed below. It seems plausible that *S. bombyx* does not occur in northern European waters and that its distribution is limited to the Mediterranean only. To avoid confusion in identification of specimens based on morphological characters only, especially in areas of possible overlap of the species, and until more molecular data has been obtained from specimens from the Gulf of Naples, we suggest that northern European horned *Spiophanes* are referred to as *S. bombyx* aggregate (*S. bombyx* agg.), rather than to a particular species.

Distribution. Mediterranean Sea (Fig 4A).

***Spiophanes cf. bombyx* (Claparède, 1870).** Figs 5–8.

Spiophanes bombyx: McIntosh [15]: 182–186; [16]: pl XCIII, Fig 1, pl XCVI, Fig 14, pl XCVII, Fig 6, pl XCVIII, Fig 10, pl CV, Fig 9, pl CVI, Fig 9, pl CVII, Fig 16. Söderström [21]: 243–244, Fig 135. Fauvel [140]: 41, Figs 14a–i. Thorson [25]: 91–92, Fig 44 (larval morphology). Hannerz [163]: 33–36, Fig 9 (larval morphology). Hartmann-Schröder [164]: 327–329, Fig 112; [165]: 341–342, Fig 156. Böggemann [32]: 121. Meißner [8] (Part.): 54–58, Fig 35. Meißner & Blank [111] (Part.): 7–11, Figs 2, 4A, B, D. Delgado-Blas *et al.* [162] (Part.): 340.

Adult morphology (based on specimens from the Norwegian and North Seas, and around the British Isles and Iceland). McIntosh [15] noted that worms from St Andrews, Scotland, UK, were about 3 inches (76 mm) long for about 180 chaetigers; Meißner & Blank [111] examined specimens 0.2–1.5 mm wide.

Worms examined in the present study 0.3–1.5 mm wide, up to 30 mm long for 120 chaetigers. Pigmentation absent on body and palps. In large formalin-fixed specimens, lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14 (until chaetigers 16–17 in large specimens) light brownish-red due to fixed internal content of large, probably glandular, epithelial cells (Fig 5A and 5B).

Prostomium triangular, wide anteriorly, with a pair of long, distally pointed fronto-lateral horns (Fig 5C), posteriorly narrowed, pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over as a caruncle. Peristomium with narrow lateral lips closely applied to lateral sides of prostomium (Fig




Fig 5. Adult morphology of *Spiophanes cf. bombyx* (Norway, MIMB 36701). (A), Chaetigers 4–20, dorsal view, showing light brownish lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14. (B) Chaetigers 5–16, ventral view, showing arrangement of glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14 and light brownish lateral sides of chaetigers 10–14. (C) Anterior end, ventral view. (D) Posterior end, left lateral view. Abbreviations: *ch5–ch15* chaetigers 5–15; *fh* fronto lateral horn; *lp* lateral peristomial lip; *py* pygidial cirrus; *sp* notopodial spine with recurved distal end. Scale bars: A = 300 μ m; B = 200 μ m; C, D = 50 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g005>

5C), and a small ventral lip. Two pairs of small dark red eyes usually present; occasionally eyes absent. Occipital antenna absent. Palps as long as 7–15 chaetigers.

Nuchal organs metameric, at least on 20 anterior chaetigers, fewer in small individuals. First pair of metamers long, oblique ciliary bands extending from posterior part of prostomium to end of chaetiger 2, shorter in small individuals; posterior ends of metamers set wider apart. Succeeding metamers shorter, each extending from nototroch over posterior half of chaetiger, oriented parallel to body axis until chaetigers 13–14, oblique on succeeding chaetigers.

Notochaetae capillaries, long on four anterior chaetigers and on posterior chaetigers, shorter on middle chaetigers. Neuropodia of chaetiger 1 each with 1–2 heavy recurved, crook-




Fig 6. Chaetal morphology of *Spiophanes* cf. *bombyx* (Norway, MIMB 36701). (A) Crook like spine in neuropodium of chaetiger 1. (B) Hooded hooks and a fragment of sabre chaeta from neuropodium of a middle chaetiger, lateral view. (C) Hooded hooks from neuropodium of a middle chaetiger, frontal view. (D) Hooded hooks, hair like alimbate capillary chaeta, and a sabre chaeta from neuropodium of a posterior chaetiger, lateral view. (E) Simple capillary chaeta and two spines with recurved distal ends from posterior notopodia. Abbreviations: *ca* capillary chaeta; *hh* hooded hook; *ho* subdistal hood below main fang of hook; *sa* ventral inferior sabre chaeta. Scale bars: A, E 20 μ m; B–D 10 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g006>

like spines in addition to capillaries (Fig 6A). Notopodia of 5–10 posterior chaetigers each with 1–3 (usually one) long recurved spines in addition to capillaries (Fig 6E).

Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, one or rarely two in a tuft, with narrow lim-bation and fine granulation on distal part (Fig 6B–6D).




Fig 7. Glandular organs of *Spiophanes* cf. *bombyx* (Norway, MIMB 36701). Right side organs in left lateral view; formalin fixed specimen stained with an alcohol solution of methyl green. (A) arrangement of glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14; organs withdrawn from chaetigers 10 and 12. (B) Chaetiger 5. (C) Chaetiger 7. (D) Chaetiger 9. (E) Chaetiger 13. (F) Chaetiger 14. Abbreviations: *ch5* *ch13* chaetigers 5 13; *fi* fibers produced by glandular organs. Scale bars: A 100 μ m; B–F 50 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g007>




Fig 8. Gametes of *Spiophanes* cf. *bombyx* (Norway, MIMB 36701). Formalin fixed specimens stained with an alcohol solution of methyl green. (A) Oocytes in chaetigers 21–25; right side coeloms in left lateral view. (B) Coelomic oocytes in chaetigers 20 and 21. (C–E) Coelomic oocytes of different shape. (F) Coelomic spermatozoa. Abbreviations: *ca*, cortical alveoli; *en*, oocyte envelope; *n*, nucleus; *nu*, nucleolus. Scale bars: A 300 μ m; B–E 20 μ m; F 10 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g008>

Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, up to 10 in a series, accompanied by inferior sabre chaetae throughout body and 1–2 short hair-like alimbate capillaries in 5–10 posterior chaetigers; hair-like capillaries in posterior neuropodia usually situated in upper and/or lower parts of hook row (Fig 6D). Hooks usually quadridentate, with three small upper teeth above main fang and small subterminal hood below main fang (Fig 6B–6D); median upper tooth occasionally weakly developed or double.

Pygidium with small fleshy ventral pad and one pair of thin long lateral cirri (Fig 5D).

Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9 and gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13, slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14 (Figs 5B and 7A–7F); large organs occupying most of chaetiger space. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in chaetigers 5–8 (Fig 7B and 7C), straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14; in fixed specimens, long straight fibers usually protruding from openings of glandular organs on chaetiger 6. Each organ on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 opening to exterior via semicircular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader, on chaetiger 6 via small round hole, and on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit. Frontal edge of fiber each spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 entire, rounded to blunt, or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes.

Digestive tract with gizzard-like structure beginning from chaetigers 15–20 and extending through 2–3 chaetigers.

Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body 10–20 μm in diameter.

Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards.

Methyl green staining. Intensely stained lateral sides of chaetiger 6; weakly stained lateral sides of chaetiger 5. This pattern was observed in small and large specimens from the North and Norwegian seas. In large specimens, the dorsal side of the prostomium and lateral sides of the peristomium were usually also intensely stained.

Reproduction. *Spiophanes cf. bombyx* is gonochoristic. The gametes proliferate in paired gonads attached to the genital blood vessels from chaetigers 18–19 to chaetigers 100–110. In females, oogenesis is intraovarian: vitellogenesis occurs when the oocytes grow in ovaries. The developed oocytes are accumulated in the coelomic cavity prior to spawning (Fig 8A). The largest intraovarian oocytes examined in fixed specimens from Norway were of irregular shape, up to 105 μm in diameter, each with a honey-combed envelope 3–4 μm thick, 45–55 cortical alveoli regularly arranged in a peripheral circle, a nucleus about 55 μm in diameter, and a single nucleolus about 20 μm in diameter. The cortical alveoli were pear-shaped, up to 8 μm long and 5 μm in diameter, with narrow necks oriented perpendicular to the oocyte surface (Fig 8B–8E).

In males, spermatogonia proliferate in testes and the rest of spermatogenesis occurs in the coelomic cavity. Spermatids are interconnected in tetrads. The spermatozoa are ect-aquasperm with a short plate-like acrosome, subspherical nucleus about 3 μm in diameter, very short mid-piece, and a long flagellum (Fig 8F).

Females and males release their gametes into the water where fertilization and holopelagic, planktotrophic larval development occur. Larvae have two pairs of red eyes on the prostomium and a midventral ciliated pit on chaetiger 2 (see Hannerz [163]: Fig 9a, 9b).

Remarks. Horned *Spiophanes* from the North and Norwegian seas morphologically appear similar to the individual from the Adriatic Sea and almost fit the original and later descriptions of *S. bombyx* from the Mediterranean. All the adults have sabre chaetae and hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15 onwards. They differ, however, in the number of cortical alveoli which are regularly arranged in the peripheral circle in mature oocytes. Claparède ([9]: 486, pl 12, Fig 2E, 2F) noted that in worms from the Gulf of Naples the developed oocytes were lentiform (sphéroïdes aplatis), up to 130 μm in diameter, with thick papillary envelope, a large spherical

germinal vesicle and a spherical nucleolus; 18 to 20 colorless cortical alveoli (“vésicules inclores” in his terminology), each about 11 μ m in diameter, were arranged in a large circle. We observed 45–55 alveoli per oocyte in worms from the Norwegian Sea (Fig 8B–8E). Sequences of the North European and single Italian specimen obtained in the present study were similar (*p*-distances ranging from 00% for 28S to 2.46% for 16S). Nevertheless, because of the difference in the number of cortical alveoli in oocytes (which is supposed to be species specific and variable within a small range), and the interrupted distribution of the North European and the Mediterranean populations (see below *S. cf. convexus*), we suggest that the conspecificity of these populations should be verified in additional molecular analysis based on sequences of more genes and more individuals. Pending molecular data, we refer to the specimens from the North and Norwegian seas, and also from around Iceland and British Isles as *S. cf. bombyx*.

The larvae and juveniles of *S. cf. bombyx* were described from Frederikshavn, NW Kattegat, Denmark, by Thorson [25] and from Gullmar Fjord, Sweden, by Hannerz [163]. Hannerz [163] noted that in Gullmar Fjord 18-chaetiger juvenile about 1.3 mm long had characteristic recurved spines in neuropodia of chaetiger 1, and hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 13.

Distribution. Northern Europe (southern limits uncertain) (Fig 4A).

***Spiophanes cf. convexus* Delgado-Blas *et al.*, 2019.** Figs 9 and 10A.

?*Spiophanes convexus* Delgado-Blas *et al.* 2019 [162]: 344–347, Figs 5, 6.

Spiophanes bombyx? Cazaux [166]: 207–210, pl 68, Figs 1–6. Meißner [8] (*Part.*): 54–58, Figs 33, 34. Meißner & Blank [111] (*Part.*): 7–11. Aylagas *et al.* [121]: S1 Table. Not Claparède [9, 10].

Type material. SPAIN, **Galicja**, Pontevedra: N° 9 Meira, 42.2833°N, 8.7167°W, Jun 76, MNCN 16.01/18442 (holotype); N° 10 Meira, 42.2833°N, 8.7167°W, Jun 76, MNCN /16.01/18444 (2 paratypes). **Andalusia**, Huelva, mouth of River Piedras, M.2, 37.2°N, 7.1167°W, 1988, MNCN 16.01/18443 (11 paratypes).

Adult morphology (based on our material from Brittany, France). Up to 30 mm long, 1 mm wide for 120 chaetigers. Pigmentation absent on body and palps. In formalin-fixed specimens, lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14 (until chaetigers 16–17 in large specimens) light brownish due to fixed internal content of large, probably glandular, epithelial cells.

Prostomium triangular, wide anteriorly, with a pair of distally pointed fronto-lateral horns, posteriorly narrowed, pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over as a caruncle. Fronto-lateral horns long (Fig 9C) or moderately developed (Fig 9D). Peristomium with narrow lateral lips closely applied to lateral sides of prostomium, and a small ventral lip. Two pairs of small dark red eyes present or eyes absent. Occipital antenna absent. Palps as long as 7–15 chaetigers.

Nuchal organs metameric, at least on 40 anterior chaetigers, fewer in small individuals. First pair of metamers long oblique ciliary bands extending from posterior part of prostomium to end of chaetiger 2; posterior ends of metamers set wider apart. Succeeding metamers shorter, each extending from nototroch over posterior half of chaetiger, oriented parallel to body axis until chaetigers 10–11, slightly oblique on succeeding chaetigers. Epithelial cells around nuchal patches with dark yellow pigment in life.

Notochaetae capillaries, long on four anterior chaetigers and on posterior chaetigers, shorter on middle chaetigers. Neuropodia of chaetiger 1 each with 1–2 heavy recurved, crook-like spines in addition to capillaries (Fig 9F). Notopodia of 5–10 posterior chaetigers each with 1–2 (usually one) long recurved spines in addition to capillaries (Fig 9H).

Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, one or rarely two in a tuft, with narrow limination and fine granulation on distal part.




Fig 9. Adult morphology of *Spiophanes* cf. *convexus* (Brittany, France, MIMB 28155). (A, B) Formalin fixed specimens stained with an alcohol solution of methyl green; palps missing. (A) Anterior end, left lateral view. (B) Chaetigers 5–13, left lateral view, showing specific pattern of methyl green staining. (C, D) Anterior ends, dorsal view, showing various shape of prostomium; palps missing. (E) Long thick fibers produced by glandular organ of chaetiger 6, protruding from hole like opening of the organ. (F) Crook like spines in neuropodium of chaetiger 1. (G) Hooded hooks from neuropodium of a middle chaetiger. (H) Posterior end, dorsal view. I, same, ventral view. Abbreviations: *ch6*, *ch8* chaetigers 6 and 8; *fh* fronto lateral horn; *hh* hooded hook; *lp* lateral peristomial lip; *sa* ventral inferior sabre chaeta. Scale bars: A 500 μ m; B D 300 μ m; E, F 50 μ m; G 20 μ m; H, I 100 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g009>

Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, up to 10 in a series, accompanied by inferior sabre chaetae throughout body and 1–2 short hair-like alimbate capillaries in 5–10 posterior chaetigers; hair-like capillaries in posterior neuropodia usually situated in upper and/or lower parts of hook row. Hooks usually quadridentate, with three small upper teeth above main fang and small subterminal hood below main fang (Fig 9G).

Nototrochs from chaetiger 1 to end of body; on each of chaetigers 1 and 2 as two short, oblique ciliary bands situated between notopodial postchaetal lamellae and nuchal ciliary bands; from chaetiger 3 through most succeeding chaetigers as complete transverse bands of dense




Fig 10. Methyl green staining patterns in formalin fixed specimens of *Spiophanes* spp. (A) *S. cf. convexus* (MIMB 36704, Brittany, France), chaetigers 2–8, left lateral view. (B) *S. uschakowi* (MIMB 36677, Sakhalin Is., Sea of Okhotsk), chaetigers 4–7, left lateral view. (C) *S. cf. norrisi* (MIMB 3986, Chile), chaetigers 5–11, left lateral view. (D) *S. hakaiensis* n. sp. (MIMB 36709, British Columbia, Canada), chaetigers 3–7, left lateral view. (E) *S. norrisi* (MIMB 28153, San Diego, California, USA), chaetigers 4–8, left lateral view. Abbreviations: *ch6* chaetiger 6. Scale bars: A, B 200 μm; C–E 100 μm.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g010>

cilia running between notopodial postchaetal lamellae. Each nototroch composed of two parallel rows of large transversally elongated cells, each bearing numerous long cilia; nototroch ciliation stronger on ridge-bearing chaetigers. Single transverse rows of smaller cells with shorter cilia present on each chaetiger beginning from chaetiger 3.

Dorsal transverse ridges present from chaetigers 14–15 to chaetigers 40–50, fewer in small individuals. Ridges thick, fleshy, one per chaetiger, not connected to notopodial lamellae. Wide blood vessel extending along each ridge internally; double nototroch with numerous long cilia arranged on top of each ridge. Intersegmental lateral pouches absent.

Pygidium with small fleshy ventral pad and one pair of thin long lateral cirri (Fig 9H and 9I).

Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9 and gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13, slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14; large organs occupying most of chaetiger space. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in chaetigers 5–8, straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14; in fixed specimens, long straight fibers usually protruding from openings of glandular organs on chaetiger 6 (Fig 9E). Each organ on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 opening to exterior via semicircular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader, on chaetiger 6 via small round hole, and on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit (Fig 9A, 9B and 9E). Frontal edge of each fiber spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 entire, rounded to blunt, or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes.

Digestive tract with gizzard-like structure beginning from chaetigers 15–20 and extending through 2–3 chaetigers.

Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body 10–20 μm in diameter.

Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards.

Methyl green staining. Intensely stained lateral sides of chaetiger 6; weakly stained lateral sides of chaetigers 8–14; no staining on head or chaetigers 1–5, 7 (Figs 9A, 9B and 10A).

Remarks. Horned *Spiophanes* from Brittany, northern France, morphologically appear very similar or almost identical to *S. bombyx* from the Mediterranean and *S. cf. bombyx* from the North and Norwegian seas, but unambiguously differ from them in genetic characteristics (Figs 1B and 2B). They weakly differ from North European specimens also by the pattern of MG staining: no staining on head and five anterior chaetigers in *S. cf. convexus*, and staining on chaetiger 5 and often on the prostomium and peristomium in *S. cf. bombyx*. However, these patterns are variable and can only be seen in well preserved specimens.

Delgado-Blas *et al.* [162] described *S. convexus* based on the morphology of a few anterior fragments from Atlantic Spain (Galicia and Andalusia), and also from Mediterranean Spain (Catalonia). The diagnostic characters used to distinguish *S. convexus* from *S. bombyx* were ambiguous and fit into the range of morphological variability of *S. bombyx*, which was not examined by Delgado-Blas *et al.* [162]. Based on the proximity of the type locality of *S. convexus* (Meira, Pontevedra, Galicia) and sampling sites of specimens examined through molecular analysis in the present study, we assume that our specimens from Brittany, France, and specimens from Bay of Biscay sequenced by Aylagas *et al.* [121] belong to *S. convexus*. In the absence of sequence data of *S. convexus*, however, we refer our specimens to as *S. cf. convexus*. The identity of the horned *Spiophanes* from Catalonia, Spain, referred by Delgado-Blas *et al.* [162] to as *S. convexus*, should be verified in molecular analysis. So far, based on available data, we consider *S. bombyx* as the only horned species occurring in the Mediterranean.

To avoid confusion in identification of specimens based on morphological characters only, especially in areas of possible overlap of *S. bombyx* and *S. convexus*, and until more molecular data has been obtained from specimens from the Gulf of Naples, we suggest that North European horned *Spiophanes* are referred to as *S. bombyx* aggregate (*S. bombyx* agg.), rather than to a particular species.

Mesnil [11] described in detail adults and larvae of *S. bombyx* from Wimereux, Hauts-de-France, northern France. He noted that the adults were up to 6 cm long, 1.5 mm wide for about 180 chaetigers. They had hooks beginning invariably from chaetiger 15, whereas in larvae hooks started from chaetigers 11–14. Wimereux is located midway between the sites where *S. cf. bombyx* and *S. cf. convexus* were collected for molecular analysis. Because Mesnil's [11] description fits both species, the identity of the worms described by him from Wimereux remains uncertain.

Cazaux [166] described in detail the larval development of a horned *Spiophanes* from Arcachon, France, which he identified as *S. bombyx*. He noted that in an early benthic 15-chaetiger juvenile, hooks were not yet developed, and in a 19-chaetiger juvenile they were present in chaetigers 13–19. Arcachon is located between sites where specimens of *S. cf. convexus* were collected for molecular analysis. It is plausible, therefore, that Cazaux [166] dealt with *S. convexus* but not *S. bombyx*.

Distribution. Western Europe (northern and southern limits uncertain) (Fig 4A).

***Spiophanes uschakowi* Zachs, 1933.** Figs 10B and 11–14

Spiophanes uschakowi Zachs, 1933 [112]: 130. Meißner [8]: 61. Meißner & Blank [111]: 15–17, Fig 7. Buzhinskaja [84]: 61. Radashevsky *et al.* [167]: 33–39, Figs 1–6 (gamete ultrastructure).

Spiophanes uschakovi [sic]: Annenkova [168]: 140; [113]: 172–173. Uschakov [78]: 267.

Spiophanes bombyx: Okuda [76]: 222–223, Figs 3, 4. Annenkova [113]: 172. Uschakov [77]: 200; [78]: 267; [169]: 205. Pasternak [170]: 267. Imajima & Hartman [80]: 289–290.

Buzhinskaja [114]: 103; [83]: 135; [84]: 61. Koblikov [171]: 39. Ozolinsh [172]: 82–83. Imaijima [88]: 128–132, Figs 8, 9; [116]: 129; [117]: 80; [118]: 379. Buzhinskaja & Britayev [115]: 85. Ozolinsh & Bagaveeva [173]: 139. Not Claparède [9, 10].

Spiophanes sp.: Radashevsky [174]: Figs 1F, 5D, 7B, 10D.

Type material. RUSSIA, **Primorsky Region**, Sea of Japan (East Sea), Peter the Great Bay, 42.835°N, 131.74°E, dredge, 26–30 m, fine sand, coll. K.M. Derjugin, 11 Oct 1925, ZISP 1/25826 (2 syntypes).

Adult morphology. Up to 47 mm long, 1.5 mm wide for 140 chaetigers. Pigmentation absent on body and palps. A pair of narrow transverse whitish bands, concentrations of epithelial glandular cells, visible on ventral side of chaetiger 1 in live individuals. In large formalin-fixed specimens, lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14 (until chaetigers 16–17 in large specimens) light brownish-red due to fixed internal content of large, probably glandular, epithelial cells.

Prostomium triangular, wide anteriorly, with a pair of long, distally pointed fronto-lateral horns (Fig 11A–11D), posteriorly narrowed, pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over it as a caruncle. Peristomium with narrow lateral lips closely applied to lateral sides of prostomium, and a small ventral lip (Fig 11B–11D). Two pairs of small dark red eyes arranged trapezoidally, comprising one pair of median eyes and one pair of lateral eyes situated anteriorly and set wider apart; occasionally eyes absent. Occipital antenna absent. Palps as long as 7–15 chaetigers, with deep frontal longitudinal groove lined with fine cilia, fronto-lateral motile compound cilia bordering frontal groove, short transverse rows of short motile compound cilia regularly arranged on inner lateral side and beating towards frontal groove, short compound non-motile cilia arising directly from palp surface and scattered on lateral and abfrontal palp surfaces (Fig 11H).

Nuchal organs metameric, at least on 25 anterior chaetigers, fewer in small individuals. First pair of metamers long oblique ciliary bands extending from posterior part of prostomium to end of chaetiger 2, shorter in small individuals; posterior ends of metamers set wider apart (Fig 11C). Succeeding metamers shorter, each extending from nototroch over posterior half of chaetiger, oriented parallel to body axis until chaetigers 9–10 (Fig 11F), oblique on succeeding chaetigers (Fig 11G).

Notochaetae capillaries, long on four anterior chaetigers and on posterior chaetigers, shorter on middle chaetigers. Neuropodia of chaetiger 1 each with 1–2 heavy recurved, crook-like spines in addition to capillaries (Figs 11A–11C and 12A). Notopodia of 5–10 posterior chaetigers each with 1–3 (usually one) long spines with recurved distal end in addition to capillaries (Fig 11E). Noto- and neuropodial postchaetal lamellae on four anterior chaetigers subtriangular, with pointed tips (Figs 11A–11D and 12A); notopodial lamellae on succeeding middle chaetigers short, subulate (Fig 11F and 11G), on posterior chaetigers long, cirriform (Fig 11E). Neuropodial postchaetal lamellae on chaetigers 5–14 large but low, rounded and fleshy, with openings of internal glandular organs (Figs 11B and 12A–12F).

Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10, usually one, occasionally two per neuropodium, with fine granulation on distal part; chaetae on chaetiger 10 comparatively small, with narrow limbation, slightly reducing in size until chaetiger 14, but from chaetiger 15 onwards thicker, alimbate (Fig 12C).

Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, up to 15 in a series, accompanied by inferior sabre chaetae throughout body and 1–2 hair-like alimbate capillaries in 7–10 posterior chaetigers; hair-like capillaries in posterior neuropodia usually situated in upper and/or lower parts of hook row. Hooks usually quadridentate, with three small upper teeth above main fang and small subterminal hood below main fang (Fig 13B); median upper tooth occasionally weakly developed or doubled (Fig 13A and 13B).




Fig 11. Adult morphology of *Spiophanes uschakowi* (Peter the Great Bay, Russia, USNM 183505). (A) Anterior end, frontal view. (B) Same, left lateral view. (C) Same, dorsal view, palps missing. (D) Same, ventral view. (E) Posterior end, left lateral view. (F) Chaetigers 7–11, dorsal view, showing longitudinal nuchal metamers, nototrochs and intersegmental transverse bands of cilia. (G) Chaetigers 12–15, dorsal view, showing oblique nuchal metamers, nototrochs and intersegmental transverse bands of cilia. (H) Palp, fronto lateral view, showing frontal longitudinal ciliated groove. Abbreviations: *ch5*, *ch7*, *ch15* chaetigers 5, 7, 15; *cr* crook like spine in neuropodium of chaetiger 1; *fh* fronto lateral horn; *lp* lateral peristomial lip; *nt* nototroch; *nu* nuchal organ; *pa* palp scar; *pr* prostomium; *py* pygidial cirrus; *sp* notopodial spine with recurved distal end; *tc* intersegmental transverse cilia; *vp* ventral peristomial lip. Scale bars: A 50 μ m; B H 100 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g011>




Fig 12. Glandular organs of *Spiophanes uschakowi* (Peter the Great Bay, Russia, USNM 183505). (A) Anterior end, left lateral view. (B) Chaetigers 6–9, left lateral view, showing openings of glandular organs: small hole on chaetiger 6, suboval slits on chaetigers 7 and 8, and vertical slit on chaetiger 9. (C) Chaetigers 10–16, left lateral view, showing slit-like openings of glandular organs on chaetigers 10–14. (D) Chaetigers 4 and 5, left lateral view, showing suboval fiber spreader on neuropodium of chaetiger 5. (E) Chaetiger 6, left lateral view, showing hole-like opening of glandular organ in neuropodium. (F) Chaetigers 14 and 15, left lateral view, showing slit-like opening of glandular organ in neuropodium of chaetiger 14 and hooks in neuropodia of chaetiger 15. Abbreviations: *ch5* *ch16* chaetigers 5–16; *fs* fiber spreader; *go*

opening of glandular organ; *hh* hooded hook; *lo* lateral sensory ciliated organ; *ne* neuropodial postchaetal lamella; *no* notopodial postchaetal lamella; *nt* nototroch; *sa* ventral inferior sabre chaeta; *se* hardened secretion protruding from opening of glandular organ; *tc* intersegmental transverse cilia. Scale bars: A 200 μ m; B, C 100 μ m; D F 50 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g012>

Nototrochs from chaetiger 1 to end of body; on each of chaetigers 1 and 2 as two short, oblique ciliary bands situated between notopodial postchaetal lamellae and nuchal ciliary bands; from chaetiger 3 onwards as complete transverse bands of dense cilia running between notopodial postchaetal lamellae (Figs 11C, 11F, 11G and 12C). Each nototroch composed of two parallel rows of large transversally elongated cells, each bearing numerous long cilia; nototroch ciliation stronger on ridge-bearing chaetigers. Single transverse rows of smaller cells with shorter cilia present on each chaetiger beginning from chaetiger 3. These rows situated posterior to nototrochs and referred to as intersegmental transverse ciliation (Fig 11F and 11G).

Dorsal transverse ridges present from chaetiger 15 to chaetigers 30–55, fewer in small individuals. Ridges thick, fleshy, one per chaetiger, not connected to notopodial lamellae. Wide blood vessel extending along each ridge internally; double nototroch with numerous long cilia arranged on top of each ridge. Intersegmental lateral pouches absent.

Pygidium with small fleshy ventral pad and one pair of thin long lateral cirri (Fig 11E); one to two additional cirri present above lateral cirri in some individuals.

Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9 and gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13 (Fig 14B–14G), slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14; large organs occupying most of chaetiger space. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in chaetigers 5–8 (Fig 14B–14E), straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14; in fixed specimens, long straight fibers usually protruding from openings of glandular organs on chaetiger 6. Each organ on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 opening to exterior via semicircular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader (Figs 11B, 12A, 12B, 12D and 14A), on chaetiger 6 via small round hole (Figs 12E and 14A), and on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit (Figs 12A–12C, 12F and 14A). Frontal edge of each fiber spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 entire, rounded to blunt, or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes (Fig 12A, 12B and 12D).




Fig 13. Adult morphology of *Spiophanes uschakowi* (Peter the Great Bay, Russia, USNM 183505). (A) Hooks in neuropodium of chaetiger 15, view from above, showing variation in number of superior teeth. (B) Same, lateral view, showing small subterminal hood below main fang. Abbreviations: *fa* main fang of hook; *ho* subdistal hood below main fang of hook; *su* superior teeth of hook; *up* upper tooth of hook. Scale bars: A, B 2 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g013>




Fig 14. Glandular organs of *Spiophanes uschakowi* (Sakhalin Is., Sea of Okhotsk, MIMB 36677). (A) Schematic composition of parapodia and shape of openings of glandular organs on neuropodia of chaetigers 5–9: suboval openings with one lobe chaetal spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8; small hole on chaetiger 6; and a vertical slit on chaetiger 9. (B–G) Morphology of glandular organs in chaetigers 5–12, right side organs in left lateral view, formalin fixed specimen stained with an alcohol solution of methyl green. (B) Chaetigers 5–12. (C) Chaetiger 5. (D) Chaetiger 7. (E) Chaetiger 8. (F) Chaetiger 9. (G) Chaetiger 10. Abbreviations: *ch5–ch12* chaetigers 5–12; *fi* fibers produced by glandular organs; *lo* lateral sensory ciliated organ; *ne* neuropodial postchaetal lamella; *no* notopodial postchaetal lamella. Scale bars: B 200 μ m; C–G 100 μ m.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g014>

Foregut wide, eversible, within 3–6 anterior chaetigers, with black pigment in thick wall; oesophagus in succeeding chaetigers narrow, with thin unpigmented wall. Gizzard-like structure in end of oesophagus beginning from chaetigers 14–21 and extending through 2–3 chaetigers.

Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body extending from chaetigers 14–16 to chaetigers 20–21. Heart body soft, brownish-green, 30–40 μ m in diameter. Main dorsal blood vessel transforming into gut sinus 1–3 chaetigers after gizzard-like structure. Circumoesophageal vessels joined midventrally in chaetiger 3 forming main ventral blood vessel. Blood red, without elements.

Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards, opening to exterior on antero-lateral edges of chaetigers in both sexes.

Habitat. Adults of *S. uschakowi* live in tubes in sandy to muddy-sand sediments in shallow waters. Population densities reach several thousand individuals per square meter. The tubes are sandy, firm, with thin but strong inner lining, up to 10 cm long and 2 mm in diameter, oriented vertically in sediment, with upper part 2–5 mm long protruding above the surface.

Methyl green staining. Intensely stained small area on lateral sides of chaetiger 5 (in front of openings of glandular organs; not stained in some individuals), larger area on chaetiger 6 (Fig 10B) and small fleshy pygidium (pygidial cirri not stained); weakly stained lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14.

Reproduction. *Spiophanes uschakowi* is gonochoristic. The gametes proliferate in paired gonads attached to the genital blood vessels from chaetigers 20–24 to chaetigers 88–120. In females, oogenesis is intraovarian: vitellogenesis occurs when the oocytes grow in ovaries. The developed oocytes are accumulated in the coelomic cavity prior to spawning. The newly released oocytes are lentiform, each 185–200 μm in diameter, with honeycombed envelope 5–7 μm thick, 41–49 cortical alveoli regularly arranged in a peripheral circle, a nucleus 80–83 μm in diameter, and a single nucleolus about 30 μm in diameter. The cortical alveoli are pear-shaped, 7–9 μm in diameter, with narrow necks oriented perpendicular to the oocyte surface (see Radashevsky *et al.* [167]: Fig 2).

In males, spermatogonia proliferate in testes and the rest of spermatogenesis occurs in the coelomic cavity. Spermatids are interconnected in tetrads. The spermatozoa are ect-aquasperm with a plate-like acrosome $0.58 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{m}$ thick and $2.14 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, barrel-shaped nucleus $2.23 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{m}$ long and $3.18 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, short midpiece $0.93 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{m}$ long with five spherical mitochondria, two centrioles and one small lipid droplet, and a flagellum 62–63 μm long with $9 \times 2 + 2$ organization of microtubules (see Radashevsky *et al.* [167]: Fig 5).

Females and males release their gametes into the water where fertilization and holopelagic, planktotrophic larval development occur. Larvae have two pairs of red eyes on the prostomium and a midventral ciliated pit on chaetiger 2. Newly settled 20–21-chaetiger juveniles about 2 mm long had heavy recurved crook-like spines in neuropodia of chaetiger 1, sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10, hooded hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 14, and long spines with recurved distal end in notopodia from chaetigers 17–19.

Remarks. In the original description of *S. uschakowi* Zachs ([112]: 130) noticed characteristic feature of worms as notopodial lamellae (“cirri dorsales”) being leaf-like on eight anterior chaetigers and cirriform on the following chaetigers. He noted that hooks appeared rather similar to those in *S. bombyx* and incorrectly reported that the first chaetiger had no heavy spines. Annenkova [168, 113] and Uschakov [78] likely had not seen Zachs’ material and distinguished *S. bombyx* and *S. uschakowi* only according to Zachs’ description. We have examined the two syntypes of *S. uschakowi* (two anterior fragments, each about 25–35 chaetigers) deposited in the polychaete collection of the Zoological Institute, Saint Petersburg, Russia (ZISP 1/25826), and observed the heavy recurved spines in first neuropodia, and other characters as they described above.

The ultrastructure of the oocytes and spermatozoa was described in details by Radashevsky *et al.* [167]. The larvae of the species were found in plankton collected in Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan (East Sea), Russia, in September–October. Their morphology will be described elsewhere.

Spiophanes uschakowi has been recorded from the Shantar Islands, northern part of Sakhalin Is. and from the South Kurile Islands south to the Korean Peninsula. Numerous records of *S. bombyx* from around Japanese Islands by Minoru Imajima are herein referred to *S. uschakowi* but the conspecificity of mainland and Japanese specimens should be verified in a further study. Remarkably, *S. uschakowi* has never been reported from the Chukchi Peninsula,




Fig 15. Maps showing records of *Spiophanes* spp. in North Pacific Ocean. (A) *Spiophanes uschakowi* Zachs, 1933: red star type locality: Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan (East Sea), Russia; green triangle specimens sequenced in the present study; yellow circles adults identified in the present study based on the morphology only; turquoise circles adults identified as *S. bombyx* by Imajima [88, 116–118]. (B) *Spiophanes norrisi* Meißner & Blank, 2009 (yellow symbols): yellow star type locality: Magdalena Bay, Baja California Sur, Mexico; yellow square specimens sequenced by Meißner & Blank [111]; yellow rhombs specimens sequenced by the LACM DISCO Project (Los Angeles, California, USA), and the Marine Biology Laboratory (City of San Diego, California, USA); yellow circles adults identified based on the morphology only. *Spiophanes hakaensis* n. sp. (green symbols): green star type locality: Calvert Is., British Columbia, Canada; green squares specimens sequenced in the present study; green triangles specimens sequenced by the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (Guelph, Canada), and the Marine Biology Laboratory (City of San Francisco, California, USA); green circles adults identified based on the morphology only.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g015>

Kamchatka Peninsula, Bering Islands, Kurile Islands, and northern part of the Sea of Okhotsk, although numerous samples from this region were provided by the expeditions of the Institute of Marine Biology, Vladivostok, FEB RAS, the Zoological Institute, St. Petersburg, RAS, some other institutions, and also during this study.

Distribution. North West Pacific: from the Sea of Okhotsk south to the Yellow Sea and East China Sea (Fig 15A).

***Spiophanes norrisi* Meißner & Blank, 2009.** Fig 10E

Spiophanes norrisi Meißner & Blank, 2009 [111] (Part.): 11–15, Figs 5, 6A, 6B, 6D–6F.

Spiophanes bombyx: Hernández-Alcántara *et al.* [63]: 570. Hernández-Alcántara & Solís-Weiss [175]: 29. Méndez [176]: 142. Díaz-Castañeda & Valenzuela-Solano [177]: 513. Not Claparède [9, 10].

Type material. MEXICO, **Baja California Sur**, Magdalena Bay, Entrada Point, R/V *Velero IV*, st. 1962–50, 24.545°N, 112.06805°W, rocky intertidal with surfgrass & tide pools, coll. Allan Hancock Foundation, 3 May 1950, LACM-AHF Poly 2251 (holotype), 2254 (16 paratypes).

Synopsis. Up to 15 mm long, 0.6 mm wide for 100 chaetigers. Prostomium with long fronto-lateral horns, posteriorly pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over it as caruncle. Occipital antenna absent. Nuchal organs metameric, at least on 25 anterior chaetigers; first pair of metamers as oblique ciliary bands from prostomium to end of chaetiger 2; succeeding metamers shorter, parallel to body axis until chaetigers 10–11, oblique on succeeding chaetigers. Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10. Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, usually quadridentate, with small subterminal hood. Pygidium with small ventral fleshy pad and one pair of lateral cirri. Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9, gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13, slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in

chaetigers 5–8, straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14. Organs on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 each opening to exterior via semicircular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader, on chaetiger 6 via small round hole, on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit. Frontal edge of each fiber spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 entire, rounded to blunt, or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes. Digestive tract with gizzard-like structure. Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body. Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards. Gonochoristic. Oocytes lentiform, each with thick honey-combed envelope and cortical alveoli regularly arranged in a peripheral circle. Spermatids interconnected in tetrads. Spermatozoa short-headed aqua-sperm with plate-like acrosomes. Fertilization in sea water. Larval development holopelagic, planktotrophic. Larvae with two pairs of red eyes on prostomium and a midventral ciliated pit on chaetiger 2.

Remarks. Meißner & Blank [111] designated 17 specimens from one sample collected from Magdalena Bay, Baja California Sur, Pacific Mexico, as the type material of *S. norrisi* (Fig 15B). They referred to numerous specimens of horned *Spiophanes* collected along the Pacific American coast from Alaska south to Chile as non-type material of the same species. For molecular analysis (short fragments of *COI*), the authors used specimens collected from offshore San Francisco (SF), northern California.

Molecular analysis performed in the present study suggested the presence of two sibling vicariant species distributed along the Pacific coast of North America and overlapping in California (Figs 2B and 3). Herein, we refer northern specimens to a new species: *Spiophanes hakaensis* n. sp. (see below). The *COI* sequences provided by Meißner & Blank [111] were grouped together with sequences from specimens from off San Francisco and Baja California Norte, Mexico, provided in other studies (see Table 1, Fig 2B). Consequently, these specimens are referred to as *S. norrisi*. South American populations of horned *Spiophanes* have not been examined in genetic analysis. Pending molecular data, we suggest that Chilean and Argentinian specimens are referred to as *S. cf. norrisi* (see below).

Meißner & Blank [111] noticed that *S. norrisi* differed from morphologically similar species mainly in the orientation of segmental nuchal metamers (“dorsal ciliated patches”, “metameric ciliated patches” or “dorsal ciliated organs” in their terminology), and that it was the only known species with oblique orientation of the metamers from as early as between chaetigers 9–10. After describing horned American *Spiophanes* as a new species, *S. norrisi*, Meißner & Blank ([111]: 6) noted that *S. uschakowi* “turned out” to be very similar to *S. norrisi*, but “a definite assignment [of *S. uschakowi*] based on morphological characters was not possible as long as the nature of the dorsal ciliated organs posterior to chaetiger 2 was unknown.” We observed segmental nuchal metamers oriented parallel to body axis until chaetigers 9–10 in *S. uschakowi* and until chaetigers 10–11 in *S. norrisi*; thus the two species cannot be distinguished based on the morphology of their nuchal organs. We did not observe sabre chaetae beginning earlier than chaetiger 10 in *S. norrisi* and interpret their rare start from chaetiger 9 reported by Meißner & Blank [111] as an abnormality, as with the occasional bifurcation of one or both pygidial cirri rarely occurring both in *S. uschakowi* and *S. norrisi*. Individuals of both species demonstrate the same variability in the shape of the chaetal spreaders on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8, and all other morphological characters examined so far. Specimens of the two species differ slightly in the patterns of the MG staining. In *S. uschakowi*, the lateral sides of chaetiger 5 (in front of openings of glandular organs) are usually weakly stained (Fig 10B), whereas in *S. norrisi* such staining has not been observed (Fig 10E). The staining pattern in *S. uschakowi*, however, is variable and in some individuals (worms from Peter the Great Bay were mostly examined on this account) staining was not revealed on chaetiger 5, making this character also unreliable for distinguishing the two species.

Blake [178] described 13- and 14-chaetiger horned larvae of a *Spiophanes* collected in Tomales Bay, California, in November 1971. The 14-chaetiger larva was 1025 µm long and had hooded hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 11. Blake [178] noticed subtle morphological differences between the Californian and Swedish larvae (described by Hannerz [163]) and referred the former to as *S. cf. bombyx*. However, two other horned *Spiophanes*, *S. anoculata* Hartman, 1960 and *S. hakaensis* n. sp., also occur in Californian waters. As with *S. bombyx* and *S. norrisi*, adults of *S. anoculata* have hooded hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15. So far, *S. anoculata* has only been found in deep water, from 922 m to 2800 m depth (see Meißner [8]), but because Blake [178] collected very few (only two) larvae of same kind in shallow-water plankton, these larvae may belong to a deep-water species. Thus, their identity remains uncertain.

Distribution. North East Pacific, California: from San Francisco Bay, USA, south to Baja California Sur, Mexico (Fig 15B).

***Spiophanes hakaensis* Radashevsky & Pankova, n. sp..** *urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:20552AB1-C5D1-4310-A8B2-5BCE4370ECFA*

Figs 10D and 16

Spiophanes norrisi: Meißner & Blank, 2009 [111] (Part.): 11–15.

Spiophanes bombyx: Berkeley [53]: 416; [179]: 560. Berkeley & Berkeley [57]: 22–24, Figs 40–43. Hobson & Banse [62]: 45, Fig 6l. Carey [180]: 438. Macdonald *et al.* [181]: 40. Carr [46]: Supplement. Not Claparède [9, 10].

Type material. CANADA, **British Columbia**, 2017 Hakai-MarineGEO BioBlitz, Queen Charlotte Sound, Calvert Is.: Choked Pass, North Pigu Is., st. IHAK-46B, 51.6763°N, 128.1222°W, 6 m, sea grass, coll. D. VanMaanen, 4 Aug 2017, CMNA 2019–0105 (holotype); Choked Pass, st. IHAK-42B, 51.6806°N, 128.1163°W, 5 m, outer sandspit sediment, coll. G. Paulay, 3 Aug 2017, MIMB 36708 (paratype); Pruth Bay, st. SHAK-10, 51.6441°N, 128.1195°W, low intertidal, muddy sand, eel grass, coll. S. Dudas, 24 Jul 2017, MIMB 36707 (paratype). USA, **California**, offshore San Francisco: st. SWOO-63, 37.6588°N, 122.5615°W, 27.5 m, coll. CCSF/SFPUC Lab, 13 Sep 2010, MIMB 39021 (13 paratypes); st. SWOO-73, 37.7125°N, 122.5648°W, 18.5 m, muddy sand, 13 Sep 2010, MIMB 39022 (2 paratypes).

Adult morphology (based on the type material—specimens identified by means of molecular analysis in the present study). Up to 25 mm long, 1.3 mm wide for 110 chaetigers. Pigmentation absent on body. Few examined live complete individuals with fine black pigment scattered on palps (Fig 16F). In large formalin-fixed specimens, lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14 (until chaetigers 16–17 in largest specimens) light reddish due to fixed internal content of large, probably glandular, epithelial cells.

Prostomium triangular, wide anteriorly, with a pair of long, distally pointed fronto-lateral horns (Fig 16A, 16B and 16F), posteriorly narrowed, pressed into chaetiger 1 but not extending over as a caruncle. Peristomium with narrow lateral lips closely applied to lateral sides of prostomium, and a small ventral lip. Two pairs of small dark red eyes arranged trapezoidally, comprising one pair of median eyes and one pair of lateral eyes situated anteriorly and set wider apart; occasionally eyes absent. Occipital antenna absent. Palps as long as 7–15 chaetigers, with deep frontal longitudinal groove lined with fine cilia, fronto-lateral motile compound cilia bordering frontal groove, short transverse rows of short motile compound cilia regularly arranged on inner lateral side and beating towards frontal groove (Fig 16C and 16F), short compound non-motile cilia arising directly from palp surface and scattered on lateral and abfrontal palp surfaces.

Nuchal organs metameric, at least on 25 anterior chaetigers, fewer in small individuals. First pair of metamers long oblique ciliary bands extending from posterior part of prostomium to end of chaetiger 2, shorter in small individuals; posterior ends of metamers set wider apart.




Fig 16. Juvenile and adult morphology of *Spiophanes hakaensis* n. sp. (A–E) live 24 chaetiger juvenile. (A) Complete individual. (B) Anterior end and pygidium, dorsal view. (C) Right palp, frontal view. (D) Posterior chaetigers, left lateral view. (E) Gizzard like structure, dorsal view. (F) Anterior end of a live adult individual, dorsal view. (G) Left neuropodium of chaetiger 6 of a formalin fixed 40 chaetigers juvenile, ventral view, showing short neurochaetae and long thin straight fibers protruding from hole like opening of a glandular organ. Abbreviations: *ch5–ch10* chaetiger 5–10; *ci* transverse rows of short motile compound cilia on inner lateral side of palp; *dv* main dorsal blood vessel; *fg* foregut; *fh* fronto lateral horn; *fi* fibers produced by glandular organs; *gi* gizzard like structure; *go* glandular organs; *mg* midgut; *ne* capillary neurochaetae; *oe* oesophagus; *pg* posterior gut; *py* pygidial cirri; *sp* notopodial spines with recurved distal end. Scale bars: A, B 100 μ m; C, E, G 50 μ m; F 300 μ m. A–E British Columbia, Canada, MIMB 36708, F British Columbia, Canada, CMNA 2019 0105 (holotype). G Oregon, USA, MIMB 36711.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g016>

Succeeding metamers shorter, each extending from nototroch over posterior half of chaetiger, oriented parallel to body axis until chaetigers 10–11, oblique on succeeding chaetigers.

Notochaetae capillaries, long on four anterior chaetigers and on posterior chaetigers, shorter on middle chaetigers. Neuropodia of chaetiger 1 each with 1–2 heavy recurved, crook-like spines in addition to capillaries. Notopodia of 5–10 posterior chaetigers each with 1–3 (usually one) long spines with recurved distal end in addition to capillaries (Fig 16D). Noto- and neuropodial postchaetal lamellae on four anterior chaetigers subtriangular, with pointed tips; notopodial lamellae on succeeding middle chaetigers short, subulate, on posterior chaetigers long, cirriform. Neuropodial postchaetal lamellae on chaetigers 5–14 large but low, rounded and fleshy, with openings of internal glandular organs.

Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10, usually one, occasionally two per neuropodium, with fine granulation on distal part; chaetae on chaetiger 10 comparatively small, with narrow limbation, slightly reducing in size until chaetiger 14, but from chaetiger 15 onwards thicker, alimbate.

Hooks in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, up to 10 in a series, accompanied by inferior sabre chaetae throughout body and 1–2 hair-like alimbate capillaries in 5–10 posterior chaetigers. Hooks usually quadridentate, with three small upper teeth above main fang and small subterminal hood below main fang.

Nototrochs from chaetiger 1 to end of body, each composed of two parallel rows of large transversally elongated cells, each bearing numerous long cilia; nototroch ciliation stronger on ridge-bearing chaetigers. Intersegmental transverse ciliation from chaetiger 3 onwards.

Dorsal transverse ridges from chaetigers 14–15 to chaetigers 30–55, fewer in small individuals. Intersegmental lateral pouches absent.

Pygidium with small fleshy ventral pad and one pair of thin long lateral cirri.

Glandular organs in chaetigers 5–14, largest in chaetigers 7 and 8, smallest in chaetiger 9 and gradually increasing in size from chaetiger 10 to chaetigers 12–13, slightly smaller again in chaetiger 14; large organs occupying most of chaetiger space. Internal fibres long and coiled in glandular organs in chaetigers 5–8, straight, shorter and thinner in chaetigers 9–14; in fixed specimens, long straight fibers usually protruding from openings of glandular organs on chaetiger 6 (Fig 16G). Each organ on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 opening to exterior via semicircular to suboval slit around large fiber spreader, on chaetiger 6 via small round hole, and on chaetigers 9–14 via large vertical slit. Frontal edge of each fiber spreader on chaetigers 5, 7 and 8 blunt or with variously developed middle depression vaguely separating two rounded lobes.

Foregut wide, eversible, within 3–4 anterior chaetigers, with greenish-black pigment in thick wall; oesophagus in succeeding chaetigers narrow, with thin unpigmented wall (Fig 16F). Gizzard-like structure in end of oesophagus beginning from chaetigers 10–20 and extending through 2–3 chaetigers (Fig 16B–16E). Posterior gut with transparent wall.

Main dorsal blood vessel with heart body extending from chaetigers 12–15 to chaetigers 19–20. Blood red, without elements (Fig 16F).

Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards, opening to exterior on antero-lateral edges of chaetigers in both sexes.

Juvenile morphology. 23-chaetiger juvenile about 2 mm long, 0.3 mm wide on chaetiger 5, with fronto-lateral horns on prostomium well developed (Fig 16A). First pair of nuchal metamers extending to middle of chaetiger 2. Succeeding metamers on chaetigers 6–11. Transverse rows of short motile compound cilia regularly arranged on distal half of each palp (Fig 16C). Double-row nototrochs from chaetiger 3 onwards. Sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 11, very small on the first chaetiger, better discernible from chaetiger 12. Hooks in neuropodial from chaetiger 14. Long spines with recurved distal end in notopodia of chaetigers 19–23 (Fig 16D). Pygidium with ventral semispherical yellow pad and one pair of thin transparent dorsal cirri (Fig 16A and 16B). Gizzard-like structure in chaetigers 10–11. Nephridia from chaetiger 15 onwards.

30–40-chaetiger juveniles about 0.4 mm wide with sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10, 1–2 hooded hooks among 4–6 capillary chaetae and single sabre chaeta in each neuropodium of chaetiger 14, and only hooks and sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 15 onwards. Individuals more than 0.4 mm wide with morphological characters as described above in Adult morphology, with sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 10 and hooded hooks from chaetiger 15.

Methyl green staining. Intensely stained lateral sides of chaetiger 6 and small fleshy pygidium (pygidial cirri not stained), weakly stained lateral sides of chaetigers 9–14; no staining on chaetigers 1–5 and 7, 8 (Fig 10D).

Reproduction. *Spiophanes hakaiensis* n. sp. is gonochoristic. Mature females and males collected in British Columbia, Canada, in May 1966 (RCBM 55–47), have gametes from chaetiger 21 through most of the body. The oocytes have honey-combed envelopes with cortical alveoli

regularly arranged in a peripheral circle. Spermatids are interconnected in tetrads; the spermatozoa were ect-aquasperm with a short acrosome and short, subspherical nucleus about 3 μm in diameter.

Remarks. Horned *Spiophanes* from the North East Pacific appear morphologically very similar or almost identical to each other and to *S. uschakowi* from the North West Pacific. However, molecular analysis performed in the present study showed significant difference between the North East and North West populations and also suggested the presence of two sibling vicariant species distributed along the Pacific coast of North America and overlapping in California (Figs 1B, 2B and 3). Sequences of some Californian specimens (including COI sequences provided by Meißner & Blank [111]) were grouped together with sequences of specimens from Baja California Norte, Mexico (see Table 1, Fig 1B). Because the latter locality is close to the type locality of *S. norrisi*, those specimens are referred to this species. Other Californian specimens were genetically similar to horned *Spiophanes* from Alaska, USA, and British Columbia, Canada. Those specimens are herein referred to a new species: *Spiophanes hakaensis* n. sp. Comments on the taxonomy and the distribution of these species are provided above in the Remarks for *S. norrisi*, and below in the Remarks for *S. cf. norrisi*.

To avoid confusion in the identification of specimens based on the morphology only, in areas of overlap of *S. hakaensis* n. sp. and *S. norrisi*, we suggest that specimens from California between Point Reyes (38°N) and Point Conception (34.45°N) are referred to as *S. norrisi* aggregate; specimens occurring from Point Reyes north to Alaska are herein referred to as *S. hakaensis* n. sp.; whereas those occurring from Point Conception south to Baja California Sur, Mexico, are referred to as *S. norrisi*.

Distribution. From Alaska south to San Francisco Bay, California, USA (Fig 15A).

***Spiophanes cf. norrisi* Meißner & Blank, 2009. Fig 10C**

Spiophanes bombyx: Hartman [109]: 22, pl V, Figs 14–16. Carrasco [67]: 197–199, Figs 37–41; [119]: 48–53, Figs 19, 20, 22 J–L (larval morphology). Blake [48]: 230. Rozbaczylo & Salgado [71]: 23, Fig 2e. Carrasco [182]: 453, 455. Mendez *et al.* [183]: 431. Montiel *et al.* [73]: 310. Not Claparède [9, 10].

Spiophanes chilensis: Hartmann-Schröder [184] (*Part.*): 215–218.

Spiophanes norrisi: Meißner & Blank [111] (*Part.*): 11–15, Fig 6C.

Remarks. Hartmann-Schröder [184] described Chilean *Spiophanes* (distributed from Punta Tortuga, Coquimbo, south to Gulf of Corcovado) with prostomium expanded anteriorly as *S. chilensis* Hartmann-Schröder, 1965. Meißner [8] and Meißner & Blank [111] re-examined the type material of *S. chilensis* and distinguished specimens belonging to three species: *Spiophanes duplex* (Chamberlin, 1919), *Spiophanes fimbriata* Moore, 1923, and *S. norrisi*. Meißner [8] referred the holotype of *S. chilensis* to *S. duplex* and considered the former species as a junior synonym of the latter (see below). Meißner & Blank ([111]: 14–15) referred 22 paratypes of *S. chilensis* from Punta Tortuga (29°57.350' S, ZMH P-14946) and additional material from Bahía Quillaípe (41°32.469' S, ZMH P-21118, 2 spec.) to *S. norrisi* and concluded that the latter species “occurs from shallow waters up to subtidal depths waters along the North and South American coast.”

Meißner & Blank [111] did not mention the records of *S. bombyx* from Chile by Carrasco [67, 119] and from Argentina and the Falkland Islands by Blake [48], although these two authors noticed that their specimens were identical with *S. bombyx* from Europe. We also had no chance to examine Carrasco's or Blake's material but got new material from Chile and Argentina (see S1 Table). We could not find any difference between this material and corresponding specimens from the Pacific USA and Canada, either in the morphology or in the MG staining (Fig 10C–10E). Nevertheless, pending results of a comparative molecular analysis of North and South American specimens (see above Remarks for *S. norrisi*), we suggest that



Fig 17. Map showing type localities and records of *Spiophanes* spp. in North and South Americas.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234238.g017>

Chilean and Argentinean specimens are referred to as *S. cf. norrisi*. It is quite possible that South American horned *Spiophanes*, although morphologically appearing similar to North American *S. norrisi*, differ from them genetically and represent a different species. We see support to this idea in the limited distribution of worms in the southern part of South America only.

Distribution. South America: Chile, Argentina, Falkland Islands (Fig 17).



Spiophanes duplex (Chamberlin, 1919) (green and yellow symbols): green star-type locality: Laguna Beach, California, USA; green triangle—specimens sequenced in the present study; yellow star-type locality of *Spiophanes missionensis* Hartman, 1941 (junior synonym of *S. duplex*): Mission Bay, California, USA. ***Spiophanes soederstroemi*** Hartman, 1953 (red and pink symbols): red star-type locality: off Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil; red triangle—specimens sequenced in the present study; pink star-type locality of *Spiophanes chilensis* Hartmann-Schröder, 1965 (junior synonym of *S. soederstroemi*): Punta Tortuga near Coquimbo, Chile. ***Spiophanes cf. norrisi***: turquoise circles—reports as *S. bombyx* by Blake [48], Mendez *et al.* [183], Meißner & Blank [111], and identified in the present study based on the morphology only.



Spiophanes duplex (Chamberlin, 1919)

Morants duplex Chamberlin, 1919 [185]: 17. *Fide* Blake [64]: 149.

Spiophanes missionensis Hartman, 1941 [186]: 296–298, pl 46, Figs 17–21; [56]: 46; [187]: 46. Reish & Winter [188]: 113. Barnard & Reish [189]: 88. Reish & Barnard [190]: 5. Reish [191]: 84; [192]: 77. Kawling & Reish [193]: 58. Hernández-Alcántara *et al.* [63]: 570. Maurer *et al.* [194]: 185–203. Méndez [176]: 142. Salazar-Vallejo & Londoño-Mesa [195]: 61. *Fide* Blake [64]: 149.

Spiophanes duplex: Blake [64]: 149–150, Fig 4.20; [178]: 592–593, Fig 13.11 (larval morphology). Hernández-Alcántara & Solís-Weiss [175]: 29; [196]: 285. Salazar-Vallejo & Londoño-Mesa [195]: 61. Meißner [8] (Part.): 31–36. Blake & Ruff [197]: 377. Díaz-Castañeda & Valenzuela-Solano [177]: 513. Schiff *et al.* [198]: 41–42.

Type material. USA, **California**, Orange Co., Laguna Beach, Balboa, 33.5419°N, 117.7892°W, intertidal, MCZ ANNb-2165 (holotype).

Remarks. *Spiophanes duplex* was originally described from Laguna Beach, Balboa, California, USA, by Chamberlin ([185], as *Morants duplex*). The original description was brief, with some features misinterpreted, without any illustration. Misinterpreted features greatly distinguished these worms from other spionids, and based on them, Chamberlin [185] established a new genus, *Morants* Chamberlin, 1919. In the *Catalogue of the Polychaetous Annelids of the World*, Hartman [1999] noted *Morants* as a monotypic genus. The genus and the species have been enigma for many years until Blake [64] discovered the holotype of *M. duplex* in the collections of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard (MCZ 2165) and redescribed it. He noted that the holotype had all the characters of *Spiophanes* and referred to *Morants* as a junior synonym of *Spiophanes*. Blake [64] also referred to *Spiophanes missionensis* Hartman, 1941, originally described from Mission Bay, San Diego County, California, USA, as a junior synonym of *S. duplex*.

Meißner [8] reexamined the type specimens of *S. missionensis* Hartman, 1941, *S. soederstroemi* Hartman, 1953, and holotype of *S. chilensis* Hartmann-Schröder, 1965 and, based on their morphological similarity, considered these species as junior synonyms of *S. duplex* (Chamberlin, 1919). Consequently, she suggested that *S. duplex* is widely distributed along both the Pacific and Atlantic coasts of both North and South America.

The results of the present study unambiguously show that specimens from Brazil, being morphologically identical to adults of *S. duplex* from California, differ from them essentially in genetic characteristics (Fig 2B). Therefore, we considered the two populations as not conspecific and refer the Brazilian population to *S. soederstroemi* (see below). Pending results of molecular studies in other American regions, we consider *S. duplex* as distributed in California only.

Distribution. North East Pacific: California (Fig 17).

***Spiophanes soederstroemi* Hartman, 1953.** *Spiophanes kroyeri*: Söderström [21] (Part.): 243. Not Grube [200]. *Fide* Hartman [201]: 41.

Spiophanes soederstroemi Hartman, 1953 [201]: 41, Fig 14. Orensanz & Gianuca [202]: 17–18. Blake [48]: 230–232, Fig 13. Rozbaczylo & Castilla [203]: 175. Rozbaczylo & Salgado [71]: 24–25, Fig 2f, g. Carrasco [182]: 453, 455. Cañete *et al.* [204]: 247. Maciolek [205] (Part.): 543–544. Lancellotti & Stotz [206]: 309. Palma *et al.* [207]: 240. Montiel *et al.* [73]: 310.

Spiophanes chilensis Hartmann-Schröder, 1965 [184] (Part.): 215–218, Figs 208–211. *Fide* Blake [48]: 230.

Spiophanes missionensis: Bolívar & Lana [49]: 132–134, Figs 48–53; [50]: 255. Morgado & Amaral [208]: 549. Paiva [209]: 42; [210]: 74. Muniz & Pires [211]: 520; [51]: 152. Arasaki *et al.* [212]: 258. Santi & Tavares [213]: 293. Not Hartman [186].

Spiophanes duplex: Meißner [8] (Part.): 31–36, Figs 16–19. Pardo *et al.* [52]: 220, textfigs A–F. Scarabino [214]: 121. Pagliosa *et al.* [215]: 46. Radashevsky [174]: Fig 12A. Shimabukuro *et al.* [216]: 9. Not Chamberlin [185].

Spiophanes sp.: Radashevsky [174]: Figs 4A, 10C, 10D.

Type material. Off Brazil, **Rio Grande do Sul**, Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903, st. 1, 33.00°S, 51.1667°W, 80 m, bottom of dark gray mud, 12 Dec 1901, SMNH 5369 (2 syntypes).



Remarks. *Spiophanes soederstroemi* Hartman, 1953 was established by Hartman [201] based on two specimens from South America collected by the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903 onboard the ship *Antarctic*. The specimens were first reported by Söderström [21] as a new record for *S. kroyeri*. Remarkably, Söderström ([21]: 243) and all the following authors noted that the specimens were collected on December 12, 1901 at station 1, off Uruguay. However, the coordinates provided for this station by Söderström [21] and also in a copy of the actual station list made during the expedition: 33°00'S, 51°10'W (SMNH, Lena Gustavsson *in litt.*, 11 Sep 2019), and a map of the Expedition route with daily positions of the ship [217] show a sampling site of December 12, 1901 situated off Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (Fig 17), north of the border between Brazil and Uruguay. Noteworthy, although this border was already well established in the mid 19th century and did not change after that, it was not shown on the Expedition map. Possible uncertainty in its exact position might create confusion about reference on the type locality of *S. soederstroemi*.

Blake [48] redescribed two syntypes of *S. soederstroemi*, reviewed a complicated history of this species and clarified some of its morphological features and taxonomic issues. He also reexamined the type specimens of *Spiophanes chilensis* Hartmann-Schröder, 1965, which was originally described from Punta Tortuga, Chile, by Hartmann-Schröder [184], and referred its holotype (ZMH P-14946) to *S. soederstroemi*. Consequently, Blake [48] considered *S. chilensis* as a junior synonym of *S. soederstroemi*. He also noticed subtle differences between *S. soederstroemi* and *S. missionensis* and considered them as two closely related but separate species (the holotype of *Morants duplex* was not yet discovered at that time).

Meißner [8] reexamined type specimens of *S. missionensis* Hartman, 1941, *S. soederstroemi* Hartman, 1953, and holotype of *S. chilensis* Hartmann-Schröder, 1965 and, based on their morphological similarity, considered these species as junior synonyms of *S. duplex* (Chamberlin, 1919).

During the present study, we examined live *Spiophanes* in California, USA, and Paraná, Brazil, which fit all the characteristics of *S. duplex*. We could not find any reliable morphological difference between them, but the differences between their molecular characteristics suggest the presence of two distinct species (Fig 2B). Consequently, we treat *S. duplex* and *S. soederstroemi* as two valid species, and consider *S. missionensis* as a junior synonym of *S. duplex*, and *S. chilensis* as a junior synonym of *S. soederstroemi*. The type localities of these species and sampling sites for the specimens used in the present molecular analysis are shown on Fig 17.

Spiophanes soederstroemi is likely distributed in South America only. Records of *S. soederstroemi* from South Africa [100, 101], South Georgia [109], Antarctica [218, 219], China [90], Florida and North Carolina, USA [205], Philippines [220], and the Arabian Gulf [221] possibly represent misidentifications of different species.

Distribution. South America: Brazil, Uruguay, Argentina, Chile (Fig 17).

***Spiophanes kroyeri* Grube, 1860.** *Spiophanes kroyeri* Grube, 1860 [200]: 88–89, pl V, Fig 1. Sikorski [33] (*Part.*): 329–331, textfig Meißner [8] (*Part.*): 7–14, Fig 1A.

Type material. Greenland Sea, off northern Iceland, coll. Grube, E., ZMB Q4746 (lectotype), 11168 (paralectotype).

Remarks. Grube ([200]: 89) noted in the original description of *S. kroyeri* that the new species was “Aus dem Meere von Grönland.” No more detail about the type locality has ever been published, but the original registry catalogue and labels for the types of *S. kroyeri* maintained in the polychaete collection of the Zoologisches Museum Berlin (ZMB), Germany, say that the material was from Iceland, *i.e.* from the southern part of the Greenland Sea ([222]; Birger Neuhäus *in litt.*, 15 Jul 2019). A tentative position of the type locality is shown on the Fig 4B.

Meißner ([8]: 13) considered *S. kroyeri* as “the most problematic species within the genus.” She reviewed its worldwide reports and suggested that most of them were based on



misidentifications. To clarify the identity of *S. kroyeri*, Meißner [8] designated a lectotype (ZMB Q4746) and provided a series of diagnostic characteristics of the adults. They included a bell-shaped prostomium, well developed occipital antenna, nuchal organs as a pair of longitudinal ciliary bands extending to chaetigers 14–16, suboval chaetal spreaders well developed on chaetigers 5–7, sabre chaetae in neuropodia from chaetiger 4, hooks with subterminal hood in neuropodia from chaetiger 15, lateral pouches first appearing between neuropodia of chaetigers 15 and 16, and pigmentation comprising light brown pigment in postchaetal lamellae of chaetigers 1–7 and brown pigment in neuropodia of chaetigers 7–14, most conspicuous in chaetigers 8–11.

Meißner ([8]: 14) commented on the problems with the original description and type material of *S. kroyeri reyssii* described from Mediterranean France by Laubier [223]. She raised it to species level, *S. reyssii* Laubier, 1964, and emphasized that “so far only specimens from the North Atlantic can be assigned to *S. kroyeri*”.

The analysis of COI sequences of *Spiophanes* from the North Sea obtained by Meißner & Blank [111], from the Bay of Biscay, Spain, obtained by Aylagas *et al.* [121], and from the Barents Sea, Norway, obtained in the present study (see Table 1), revealed two distinct groups among specimens identified by morphology as *S. kroyeri* (Fig 1B). Worms of one group occurred in the Barents Sea, Norway, and in the northern part of the North Sea (vouchers HH64, 94 by Meißner & Blank [111]), whereas worms of another group occurred in the northern (vouchers HH18, 19 by Meißner & Blank [111]) and central parts of the North Sea (voucher HH63 by Meißner & Blank [111]), and in the Bay of Biscay, Spain. According to their distributions, we call these groups northern and southern, respectively. In relation to the type localities of *S. kroyeri* (Greenland Sea, off northern Iceland) and *S. cirrata* (Oslofjord, southern Norway, see below), we tentatively refer to the northern-group specimens as *S. cf. kroyeri*, and to the southern-group specimens as *S. cf. cirrata* (Fig 4B). Final conclusion about the specific identity of these worms can be inferred after molecular examination of worms from the type localities of the corresponding species in Iceland, Norway, and Mediterranean France. To avoid confusion in the identification of *S. kroyeri*-like specimens from the northern part of the North Sea (area of overlap of the two species) based on morphological characters only, we suggest that they are referred to as *S. kroyeri* aggregate (*S. kroyeri* agg.), rather than to a particular species.

It is noteworthy that deep-water *Spiophanes* worms from off the Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean, referred to as *S. kroyeri* by Mincks *et al.* [122], are genetically different from European specimens (Fig 2B) and are therefore referred to herein as *S. aff. kroyeri*.

Distribution. Arctic; North Atlantic (Fig 4B).

***Spiophanes cirrata* M. Sars in G.O. Sars, 1872.** *Spiophanes cirrata* M. Sars in G.O. Sars, 1872 [224]: 410–411. M. Sars [225]: 268–273, pl XVIII, Figs 1–16.

?*Spiophanes kroyeri*: Hannerz [163]: 36–40, Figs 10, 11 (larval morphology). Eliason [19]: 49–50; [20]: 263. Hartmann-Schröder [164]: 326–327, Fig 111; [165]: 342–343, Fig 157. Bögge-mann [32]: 121, Fig 98. Jelsing [226]: 244, 247, Fig 11 (nuchal organs). Meißner [8] (*Part.*): 7–14, Figs 1B–H, 2, 3. Zettler *et al.* [227]: checklist. Not Grube [200].

Not *Spiophanes cirrata*: Berkeley [53]: 416; [179]: 560. Berkeley & Berkeley [228]: 475, text-fig; [57]: 24–25, Figs 44–46; [229]: 791. Carey [180]: 439.

Type material. NORWAY, North Sea, Skagerrak, Oslofjord, Drøbak, types missing, Oslo, Norway.

Remarks. Michael Sars (in G.O. Sars [224]) briefly described *Spiophanes cirrata* based on material from Drøbak, Oslofjord, southern Norway (Fig 4B), and Skrova, small island group in the Lofoten Archipelago, northern Norway. Later, Sars [225] illustrated and provided a more complete re-description of this species. Tauber [230] and Söderström [21] considered *S.*



cirrata to be a junior synonym of *S. kroyeri*. Hartman [199] listed *S. cirrata* as a valid species. Hartmann-Schröder [164, 165] and Kirkegaard [231] neither noted nor commented on this species. As the first sampling site mentioned in the original description of the species by Michael Sars, Drøbak in Oslofjord is herein for the first time recognized as the type locality of *Spiophanes cirrata*.

Based on the results of the molecular analysis performed in the present study (see above Remarks for *Spiophanes kroyeri*), and according to the type localities of *S. kroyeri* and *S. cirrata*, we tentatively refer *S. kroyeri*-like worms from the Barents Sea, Norway, and the northern part of the North Sea to as *S. cf. kroyeri*, and those from the northern and central parts of the North Sea, and from the Bay of Biscay, Spain, to as *S. cf. cirrata* (Fig 4B). Final conclusions about the specific identity of these worms can only be inferred after molecular examination of worms from the corresponding type localities of these species.

Distribution. North East Atlantic (Fig 4B).

***Spiophanes berkeleyorum* Pettibone, 1962.** *Spiophanes berkeleyorum* Pettibone, 1962 [232]: 78–83, Figs 1–4. Light [60]: 78–79, Fig 5a, b; [61]: 63–66, textfigs 63–65. Hobson & Banse [62]: 45, Fig 6k. Blake [64]: 143–145, Fig 4.17. Meißner [8]: 28–31, Figs 13–15. Blake & Ruff [197]: 377. Carr [46]: Supplement.

Spiophanes cirrata: Berkeley [53]: 416; [179]: 560. Berkeley & Berkeley [228]: 475, textfig; [57]: 24–25, Figs 44–46; [229]: 791. Carey [180]: 439. Not M. Sars in G.O. Sars [224]. *Fide* Pettibone [232].

Type material. CANADA, **British Columbia**, east coast of Vancouver Island, Departure Bay beach, coll. E. & C. Berkeley, 25 Apr 1936, USNM 30399 (holotype), 30400 (6 paratypes).

Remarks. *Spiophanes berkeleyorum* was originally described from Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada, by Pettibone [232] and later reported from distant regions. Meißner & Hutchings [110] removed *Spiophanes japonicum* Imajima, 1991 from synonymy with *S. berkeleyorum* and Meißner [8] suggested that the latter species is distributed along the Pacific coast of North America only. Later reports of the species from Brazil [233, 215], Russia [84], and China [95] probably represent misidentifications and should be verified in additional studies. Molecular data of *S. berkeleyorum* are limited to sequences provided by Meißner & Blank [111] and those in the present study.

Distribution. North East Pacific: from Alaska south to California.

***Trochochaeta multiseta* (Örsted, 1843).** *Disoma multisetosum* Örsted, 1843 [234]: 41–42; [235]: 107–108, pl II, Figs 1–12. Thulin [236]: 9, Figs 7–17. Ditlevsen [237]: 32. Friedrich [23]: 135, Fig 88. Wesenberg-Lund [238]: 31, pl 7, Figs 31–32. Hannerz [163]: 141, Figs 51–52. *Fide* Pettibone [239]: 310.

Trochochaeta multiseta: Pettibone [239]: 310–315, Figs 82, 83 a–g.

Trochochaeta multisetosum: Weitbrecht [240]: 401–412, Figs 1–10.

Disoma franciscanum Hartman, 1947 [241]: 160–169, Figs 1–3. *Fide* Pettibone [239]: 310.

Trochochaeta franciscanum: Blake & Arnofsky [242]: 68, Fig 6. Blake [178]: 598–600, Fig 13.13. Blake & Ruff [197]: 377.

Trochochaeta franciscana: Radashevsky *et al.* [243]: 574–575.

Type material. SWEDEN, Near Hveen (Ven) Island in the Øresund Strait. Types missing.

Remarks. Although *Trochochaeta* was not an object of the present study, use of these worms in the analysis (as an outgroup) brought some insight on their taxonomy. *Trochochaeta multiseta* was originally described from the Øresund Strait, Sweden, by Örsted ([234], as *Disoma multisetosum*) and later occasionally reported from the North East Atlantic. Hartman [241] described *Trochochaeta franciscana* (as *Disoma franciscanum*) from San Francisco Bay, California, USA, but Pettibone [239] placed it into synonymy with *T. multiseta*. In disagreement with Pettibone [239], Blake & Arnofsky ([242]: 68) stated that “the California specimens clearly

represent a separate species due to differences in larval ciliary patterns.” In a revision of *Trochochaeta*, Radashevsky *et al.* [243] treated *T. multisetosa* and *T. franciscana* as two valid species. Molecular analysis performed in the present study, however, showed identity (p -distance = 0.00%) of the fragments of nuclear 18S and 28S rDNA sequenced in worms from Norway and Sweden and from Drake’s Bay, California, adjacent to San Francisco Bay. Consequently, in agreement with Pettibone [239], we tentatively suggest that *T. franciscana* is a junior synonym of *T. multisetosa*. As such, it may represent an introduced North Atlantic species in San Francisco Bay (where it was first collected in 1912, Hartman [241]) and nearby embayments, transported by vectors such as commercial oyster culture or shipping. This suggestion should be verified, however, by analyzing mitochondrial genes, such as *COI* and 28S rDNA, of individuals from the San Francisco Bay area, and by further analysis of additional populations, reported under the names of *T. franciscana* or *T. multisetosa* from a variety of habitats, from British Columbia to southern California, to further confirm if only one species of *Trochochaeta* is present. If confirmed, this may be a second case of the successful invasion of *Trochochaeta* into a remote region. Radashevsky *et al.* [243] recently suggested that *Trochochaeta japonica* Imajima, 1989 might have been introduced from the Asian Pacific to the estuary of Santos, São Paulo, Brazil, as larvae in ballast water of ocean-going vessels.

Discussion

The molecular analyses performed in the present study provided rather unexpected results. In various cases, morphologically similar or even identical specimens from close locations or even from the same area turned out to be genetically distant and are herein referred to as members of sibling species.

Five-genes analysis (*COI*, *16S*, *18S*, *28S*, and *Histone 3*)

The five-genes analysis unambiguously suggested that horned *Spiophanes* with metamerich nuchal organs and *Spiophanes* with bell-shaped prostomia and nuchal organs as entire long parallel ciliary bands belong to two major evolutionary lineages within the genus. More comprehensive analysis including more species is required to confirm this hypothesis. Including species with other types of nuchal organs, such as entire U-shaped ciliary bands (see Radashevsky [174] for review), in a future analysis, will help to better understand the evolutionary history of *Spiophanes* and the transformation of characters within this group of spionids. Remarkably, adults of *Trochochaeta*, the so far suggested sister group to *Spiophanes*, have U-shaped nuchal organs, similar to those of some *Spiophanes* and many other spionids. It will not be surprising, therefore, if *Spiophanes* with U-shaped nuchal organs are shown to have a basal position on the phylogenetic tree of the genus. This would suggest that the metamerich and nuchal organs as long parallel ciliary bands might have evolved from nuchal organs as U-shaped ciliary bands within *Spiophanes*. This would also suggest that the metamerich and nuchal organs as long parallel ciliary bands shared by other spionids, might be homoplasious characters evolved independently more than once within Spionidae.

The analysis suggested that horned *Spiophanes* from the North East Atlantic and North Pacific represent two sister evolutionary lineages. The absence of *S. bombyx* from the Pacific, as previously suggested by Meißner & Blank [111], has been confirmed. Moreover, the present study suggested an even more complicated systematic composition of horned *Spiophanes* in the North East Atlantic. In contrast to Meißner & Blank [111], who suggested that *S. bombyx* was distributed in North European waters and in the Mediterranean Sea, we suggest that probably three sibling species: *S. bombyx*, *S. cf. bombyx* and *S. cf. convexus*, have been observed, and that *S. bombyx* probably occurs in the Mediterranean Sea only. Unfortunately, *S. convexus*

was poorly described based on a few incomplete fragments, without consideration of the morphological variability of *S. bombyx* and not examined by molecular means. Molecular analysis of horned European *Spiophanes*, especially *S. bombyx* from the Gulf of Naples, is needed to clarify the species composition of this group in the region.

The analysis also revealed a complicated systematic composition of worms fitting the morphological characteristics of *S. kroyeri*. The discovery of two genetically different species in North European waters requires further clarification of their specific identity. Herein, based on the proximity of sampling sites of specimens examined in molecular analyses and the type localities of *S. kroyeri* and *S. cirrata*, we suggest that worms from the Barents and the Norwegian seas, and also from the northern part of the North Sea belong to *S. kroyeri*, whereas worms from the North Sea and the Bay of Biscay belong to *S. cirrata*. These suggestions should be verified by molecular examination of *Spiophanes kroyeri* from the Greenland Sea and in a broader-scale study of *Spiophanes* in North European waters.

The analysis also showed a rich species composition of horned *Spiophanes* in the North Pacific and all along the Pacific coast of North and South America. First of all, it confirmed that Asian and North American horned *Spiophanes*, even appearing morphologically identical, are not conspecific. Moreover, it showed that horned *Spiophanes* occurring along the Pacific coast of North America belong to two sibling species: *S. norrisi* and *S. hakaiensis* n. sp. The presence of sibling species among *Spiophanes* brings doubts about the conspecificity of remote populations in North and South America referred by Meißner & Blank [111] to *S. norrisi*. It is plausible that South American populations belongs to an undescribed sibling species.

COI analysis, divergence time estimations and biogeographic inferences

The first Bayesian analysis of COI sequences, aiming to compare our data with those obtained in previous studies, resulted in a partially resolved consensus tree (Fig 1B), showing that short COI fragments (<300 bp) are not appropriate for these types of systematic inferences. The BEAST analysis of longer COI sequences (>500 bp) resulted in a fully resolved tree (Fig 3) with the same topology as in the five-genes Bayesian analysis (2B). A smaller set of taxa was used, however, in the BEAST analysis because it aimed to hypothesize on the divergence times of horned *Spiophanes* with metamerich nuchal organs only.

Two hypotheses were addressed in advance to explain the high morphological similarity and disjunct distributions of horned *Spiophanes* on the Asian and American coasts of the North Pacific. Both hypotheses assumed that a common ancestor of those *Spiophanes* might have been distributed in the past all along the North Pacific without interruption. They differ however in the cause and the time of isolation of the two lineages, followed by subsequent speciation. The first hypothesis suggests that such isolation and speciation event might have resulted from the first opening of the Bering Strait at 5.5–5.4 mya [244, 245]. The second hypothesis links this isolation and speciation to the maximum glacial period in the Quaternary ice ages in the North Pacific 3.0–2.4 mya [246–250]. The estimate suggested by the BEAST analysis, about 1.3 mya (95% HPD: 2.0–0.7 mya), places the divergence of the North American and Asian lineages of horned *Spiophanes* after the maximum glacial period in the North Pacific and, thus, supports the second hypothesis. The last glacial maximum was suggested to have had a strong influence on the distribution of many other species (see Hewitt [251] for review).

Both the five-genes Bayesian analysis and the BEAST analysis suggested that the divergence of the North American and Asian lineages was preceded by the isolation and speciation of *S. norrisi* from a common North Pacific ancestor. The BEAST analysis estimates that this divergence might have happened about 1.7 mya (95% HPD: 2.3–1.0 mya). Remarkably, the boundary between the northern *S. hakaiensis* n. sp. and southern *S. norrisi* roughly coincides with the

boundary between the Oregonian and Californian coastal biogeographical provinces at Point Conception [252]. Some Californian province species extend their ranges northward in warm-regime years [253–255]. This may also be the case with *S. norrisi* which occurs offshore from San Francisco, northern California.

The only other estimation of the divergence time of spionid polychaetes based on molecular data was provided by Schulze *et al.* [256] for North American populations of *Streblospio* spp. Using an invertebrate molecular clock calibration for *COI* sequence divergence of 1.8–2.8% per million years suggested by Palumbi [257], they estimated that the *Streblospio* lineage split in the Gulf of Mexico and adjacent regions 7–11 mya. The suggested estimates corresponded to the sea level minima in the examined region and this was considered as support for the obtained values of the estimates.

Conclusions

The results of molecular analyses performed in the present study unambiguously showed the presence of a series of sibling species within the genus *Spiophanes*. It means that specific identifications of this complicated group of spionids in many cases should be verified by molecular data. Molecular identities of *Spiophanes*, especially from European waters, are needed for confident identifications of these polychaetes around the world.

Supporting information

S1 Table. List of samples reported in the present study. Sampling location data and museum registration numbers of newly collected material of *Spiophanes* spp.; museum samples examined during this study; material from the Norwegian, North, Mediterranean and Aegean seas and North Pacific reported by Meißner & Blank [111] and from the North Sea identified by Dieter Fiege, Markus Böggemann and Karin Meißner which is deposited in the SMF and other polychaete collections and was only partially re-examined by the first author (VIR); records of *S. bombyx* (= *S. uschakowi*) from Japan provided by Imajima [88, 116–118], for which no museum numbers were reported; records of *S. bombyx* (= *S. cf. norrisi*) from Chile, Argentina and the Falkland Islands provided by Carrasco [119] and Blake [48]; records of *S. bombyx* (here referred either to *S. bombyx* or *S. cf. convexus*) from Spain provided by Meißner [8]. (XLSX)

S2 Table. List of the museums and collections (and their acronyms) holding the examined or reported samples of *Spiophanes* species. (XLSX)

S3 Table. Genetic distances between *Spiophanes* species—*COI*. Uncorrected pairwise average distances (p , in %) between specific clades for *COI* (534 bp) sequences used in the five-genes Bayesian analysis of *Spiophanes* spp. rooted with sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa*. The numbers of corresponding samples from Table 1 are given in parentheses after names and sampling locations of the species. Average distances between sympatric conspecific individuals in italics, maximal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species in bold, and minimal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species underlined. (XLSX)

S4 Table. Genetic distances between *Spiophanes* species—*16S*. Uncorrected pairwise average distances (p , in %) between specific clades for *16S* (244 bp) sequences used in the five-genes Bayesian analysis of *Spiophanes* spp. rooted with sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa*. The numbers of corresponding samples from Table 1 are given in parentheses after names and sampling locations of the species. Average distances between sympatric conspecific individuals

in italics, maximal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species in bold, and minimal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species underlined. (XLSX)

S5 Table. Genetic distances between *Spiophanes* species– 18S. Uncorrected pairwise average distances (p , in %) between specific clades for 18S (1656 bp) sequences used in the five-genes Bayesian analysis of *Spiophanes* spp. rooted with sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa*. The numbers of corresponding samples from Table 1 are given in parentheses after names and sampling locations of the species. Average distances between sympatric conspecific individuals in italics, maximal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species in bold, and minimal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species underlined. (XLSX)

S6 Table. Genetic distances between *Spiophanes* species– 28S. Uncorrected pairwise average distances (p , in %) between specific clades for 28S (287 bp) sequences used in the five-genes Bayesian analysis of *Spiophanes* spp. rooted with sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa*. The numbers of corresponding samples from Table 1 are given in parentheses after names and sampling locations of the species. Average distances between sympatric conspecific individuals in italics, maximal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species in bold, and minimal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species underlined. (XLSX)

S7 Table. Genetic distances between *Spiophanes* species–Histone 3. Uncorrected pairwise average distances (p , in %) between specific clades for Histone 3 (297 bp) sequences used in the five-genes Bayesian analysis of *Spiophanes* spp. rooted with sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa*. The numbers of corresponding samples from Table 1 are given in parentheses after names and sampling locations of the species. Average distances between sympatric conspecific individuals in italics, maximal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species in bold, and minimal values of average distances between different *Spiophanes* species underlined. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

Our sincere thanks are to Peter Garwood for organizing a field trip onboard R/V *Bernicia* in Northumbria; Jane Delany for providing generous support and working conditions at the Dove Marine Laboratory, Cullercoats, UK; Kristine Hopland Sperre for help in sampling in Norway, and Marco Sigovini for help in sampling in Venice Lagoon; Paulo da Cunha Lana and Alvaro Migotto for generous support in Brazil; Leslie Harris and Christina Piotrowski for help with museum collections. Our sincere gratitude is also to Dorothy Norris, Kristin Politano, Dagmar Lackschewitz, Barbara Mikac, María Martha (Pitu) Mendez, Kevin Kocot and Vinicius Miranda for providing *Spiophanes* specimens used in this study, to Børge Holte and Katrine Kongshavn for providing *Spiophanes* specimens collected by the MAREANO Project from offshore Norway, and to Greg Rouse for providing *COI* and 18S sequences of *Trochochaeta multisetosa* from Sweden. *Spiophanes hakaensis* n. sp. was collected and examined alive by VIR during the MarineGEO Hakai-Smithsonian BioBlitz-2017 on Calvert Island, British Columbia, Canada, initiated by Gustav Paulay and Emmett Duffy and generously supported by the Hakai Institute and Tula Foundation; Eric Peterson and Christina Munck provided fantastic facilities for the BioBlitz at the Hakai Institute; Matt Lemay, Gillian Sadlier-Brown and the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding provided *COI* sequencing of the collected material.

Vyacheslav V. Potin provided complete information about the type specimens of *S. uschakowi*; Birger Neuhaus provided information about the type specimens of *S. kroyeri*; Lena Gustavsson provided information about the type specimens of *S. soederstroemi*; Ken Halanych provided information about sequenced specimens *T. multisetosa* from California, USA; Ruth Barnich provided information about samples collected by UnicoMarine. Walter Brown and Susann Braden helped with SEM at the USNM. Many valuable comments and edits on the manuscript were provided by Tim M. Worsfold, James T. Carlton and two anonymous reviewers. To all these colleagues, we express our sincere gratitude. Sequencing support was provided by the Diversity Initiative for the Southern California Ocean (DISCO, a research initiative of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Vasily I. Radashevsky.

Data curation: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar, Tatyana V. Neretina.

Formal analysis: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar.

Funding acquisition: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar, Tatyana V. Neretina, Jin-Woo Choi, Seungshic Yum, Céline Houbin.

Investigation: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar, Tatyana V. Neretina, Jin-Woo Choi, Céline Houbin.

Methodology: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar, Tatyana V. Neretina, Seungshic Yum.

Project administration: Vasily I. Radashevsky.

Resources: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Jin-Woo Choi, Seungshic Yum, Céline Houbin.

Software: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar.

Supervision: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Céline Houbin.

Validation: Vasily I. Radashevsky.

Visualization: Vasily I. Radashevsky.

Writing – original draft: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar.

Writing – review & editing: Vasily I. Radashevsky, Victoria V. Pankova, Vasily V. Malyar, Tatyana V. Neretina, Jin-Woo Choi, Seungshic Yum, Céline Houbin.

References

1. Appeltans W, Ahyong ST, Anderson G, Angel MV, Artois T, Bailly N, et al. The magnitude of global marine species diversity. *Curr Biol*. 2012; 22(23): 2189–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036> PMID: 23159596
2. Nygren A. Cryptic polychaete diversity: a review. *Zool Scr*. 2013; 43(2): 172–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12044>
3. Hutchings P, Kupriyanova E. Cosmopolitan polychaetes—fact or fiction? Personal and historical perspectives. *Invertebr Syst*. 2018; 32: 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1071/IS17035>
4. Nygren A, Parapar J, Pons J, Meißner K, Bakken T, Kongsrud JA, et al. A mega-cryptic species complex hidden among one of the most common annelids in the North East Atlantic. *PLoS ONE*. 2018; 13(6): e0198356. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198356> PMID: 29924805

5. Müller OF, Abildgaard PC, Vahl M, Holten JS, Rathke J. Zoologia Danica seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae rariorum ac minus notorum. Descriptiones et Historia. Vol. IV. Havniae [Copenhagen]: N. Christensen; 1806. 46 + pls 121–60 pp.
6. Sikorski AV. Review of *Laonice* (Spionidae, Annelida) with remarks on several species and a description of a new species from South Africa. Ital J Zool. 2011; 78(S1): 201–14.
7. Yokoyama H. A revision of the genus *Paraprionospio* Caullery (Polychaeta: Spionidae). Zool J Linn Soc. 2007; 151(2): 253–84.
8. Meißner K. Revision of the genus *Spiophanes* (Polychaeta, Spionidae); with new synonymies, new records and descriptions of new species. Zoosyst Evol. 2005; 81(1): 3–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mmnz.200310001>
9. Claparède E. Les Annélides Chétopodes du Golfe de Naples. Supplément. Mém Soc Phys Hist nat Genève. 1870a; 20(2): 365–542.
10. Claparède E. Les Annélides Chétopodes du Golfe de Naples. Supplément. Genève: Ramboz et Schuchardt; 1870b. 178 pp.
11. Mesnil F. Études de morphologie externe chez les Annélides. I. Les Spionidiens des côtes de la Manche. Bull Sci Fr Belg. 1896; 29(1): 110–287. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.19052>
12. Michaelsen W. Die Polychaetenfauna der deutschen Meere, einschliesslich der benachbarten und verbindenden Gebiete. Wiss Meeresunters, NF. 1897; 2(1): 1–216.
13. Ad Saint-Joseph. Les Annélides polychètes des cotes de France (Manche et Océan). Ann Sci Nat, VIII Sér, Zool, Paris. 1898; 5: 209–464.
14. McIntosh WC. Notes from the Gatty Marine Laboratory, St. Andrews. No. XXXI. 2. On the British Spionidae. 3. On the Spionidae dredged by H.M.S. 'Porcupine' in 1869 and 1870. Ann Mag Nat Hist, London, Ser 8. 1909;3(14): 156–80.
15. McIntosh WC. A monograph of the British marine annelids. Vol. 3. Part 1.—Text. Polychæta. Opheliidae to Ammocharidae. London: Ray Society; 1915. 368 pp.
16. McIntosh WC. A monograph of the British marine annelids. Vol. 3. Part 2.—Plates. Polychæta. Opheliidae to Ammocharidae. London: Ray Society; 1915.
17. Southern R. Archiannelida and Polychaeta. Proc Roy Irish Acad. 1914; 31(47): 1–160.
18. Eliason A. Biologisch-faunistische Untersuchungen aus dem Öresund. V. Polychaeta. Lunds Univ Årsskrift, NF, Avd 2. 1920; 16(6): 1–103.
19. Eliason A. Undersökningar över Öresund. 41. Weitere Untersuchungen über die Polychaetenfauna des Öresunds. Lunds Univ Årsskrift, NF, Avd 2. 1962; 58(9): 1–98.
20. Eliason A. Die Polychaeten der Skagerak-Expedition 1933. Zool Bidr Uppsala. 1962; 33: 207–93.
21. Söderström A. Studien über die Polychätenfamilie Spionidae. Inaugural-Dissertation. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wicksells; 1920. 286 pp.
22. Moore HB. Marine fauna of the Isle of Man. Liverpool: University Press of Liverpool; 1937. 293 pp.
23. Friedrich H. Polychaeta. Tierwelt Nord- und Ostsee. 1938; 32(6b): 1–201.
24. Einarsson H. Survey of the benthonic animal communities of Faxa Bay (Iceland). Medd Komm Danm Fisk- og Havunders, Ser Fiskeri. 1941; 11(1): 1–46.
25. Thorson G. Reproduction and larval development of Danish marine bottom invertebrates, with special reference to the planktonic larvae in the Sound (Øresund). Medd Komm Danm Fisk- og Havunders, Ser Plankton. 1946; 4(1): 1–523.
26. Caspers H. Die Lebensgemeinschaft der Helgoländer Austernbank. Helgol Wissenschaft Meeresunters. 1950; 3: 119–69.
27. Wesenberg-Lund E. Polychaeta. Zool Iceland. 1951;2(19): 1–182.
28. Clark RB. The fauna of the Clyde Sea area. Polychaeta. Millport: Scottish Marine Biological Association; 1960. 71 pp.
29. Southward EC. Polychaeta. In: Bruce JR, Colman JS, Jones NS, editors. Marine Fauna of the Isle of Man. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press; 1963. pp. 84–108.
30. Amoureux L. Annelides polychètes captures au large de la cote d'Arcachon. Inventaire taxonomique. Bull Soc Linn Bordeaux. 1971; 1(7): 147–64.
31. Mackie ASY, Parmiter C, Tong LKY. Distribution and diversity of Polychaeta in the southern Irish Sea. Bull Mar Sci. 1997; 60(2): 467–81.
32. Böggemann M. Polychaeten aus der Deutschen Bucht. Cour Forschungsinstit Senckenberg. 1998; 202: 1–315.

33. Sikorski AV. Spionidae Grube, 1850. In: Zhirkov IA, editor. Polychaeta of the Arctic Ocean. Moscow: Yanus-K; 2001. pp. 271–332.
34. Dauvin J-C, Dewarumez J-M, Gentil F. Liste actualisée des espèces d'Annélides Polychètes présentes em Manche. Cah Biol Mar. 2003; 44(1): 67–95.
35. Coolen JWP, Bos OG, Glorius S, Lengkeek W, Cuperus J, van der Weide B, et al. Reefs, sand and reef-like sand: A comparison of the benthic biodiversity of habitats in the Dutch Borkum Reef Grounds. J Sea Res. 2015; 103: 84–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2015.06.010>
36. Wells HW, Gray IE. Polychaetous annelids of the Cape Hatteras area. J Elisha Mitchell Sci Soc. 1964; 80(2): 70–8.
37. Hartman O. Deep-water benthic polychaetous annelids off New England to Bermuda and other North Atlantic areas. Allan Hancock Found Publ, Occ Pap. 1965; 28: 1–378.
38. Foster NM. Spionidae (Polychaeta) of the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. Stud fauna Curaçao. 1971; 36(129): 1–183.
39. Day JH. New polychaeta from Beaufort, with a key to all species recorded from North Carolina. NOAA Tech Rep Natn Mar Fish Service. 1973; CIRC-375: 1–140.
40. Kinner P, Maurer D. Polychaetous annelids of the Delaware Bay Region. Fish Bull. 1978; 76(1): 209–24.
41. Dauer DM, Maybury CA, Ewing RM. Feeding behavior and general ecology of several spionid polychaetes from the Chesapeake Bay. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 1981; 54(1): 21–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(81\)90100-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(81)90100-3)
42. Dauer DM, Stokes TL, Barker HR, Ewing RM, Sourbeer JW. Macrobenthic communities of the lower Chesapeake Bay. IV. Bay-wide transects and the inner continental shelf. Benthic studies of the Lower Chesapeake Bay 7. Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol. 1984; 69(1): 1–22.
43. Bloom SA. Seasonality and structure of a macrobenthic seagrass community on the Florida Gulf coast. Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol. 1983; 68(4): 539–64.
44. Tourtellotte GH, Dauer DM. Macrobenthic communities of the lower Chesapeake Bay. II. Lynnhaven Roads, Lynnhaven Bay, Broad Bay, and Linkhorn Bay. Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol. 1983; 68(1): 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19830680105>
45. Bartholomew A. Polychaete Key for Chesapeake Bay and Coastal Virginia: Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary; 2001. 83 pp.
46. Carr CM. Polychaete diversity and distribution patterns in Canadian marine waters. Mar Biodivers. 2012; 42(2): 93–107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-011-0095-y>
47. Fauvel P. Annélides Polychètes des Iles Falkland recueillies par M. Rupert Vallentin Esq. (1902–1910). Arch Zool Expér Génér. 1916; 55(10): 417–82.
48. Blake JA. Polychaetes of the family Spionidae from South America, Antarctica, and adjacent seas and islands. Biol Antarctic Seas XIV Antarctic Res Ser. 1983; 39(3): 205–88. <https://doi.org/10.1029/AR039p0205>
49. Bolívar GA, Lana PC. Spionidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) do litoral do Estado do Paraná. Nerítica. 1987; 2(1): 107–48.
50. Bolívar GA, Lana PC. Padrões de distribuição de Spionidae e Magelonidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) do litoral do estado do Paraná. An Sem Reg Ecol. 1988; 6: 247–67.
51. Muniz P, Pires AMS. Polychaete associations in a subtropical environment (São Sebastião Channel, Brazil): A structural analysis. Mar Ecol. 2000; 21(2): 145–60.
52. Pardo EV, Radashevsky VI, Amaral ACZ. Classe Polychaeta—Família Spionidae. In: Amaral ACZ, Rizzo AE, Arruda EP, editors. São Paulo: EDUSP; 2005. pp. 205–20.
53. Berkeley E. Polychaetous annelids from the Nanaimo district. Part 3. Leodidae to Spionidae. Contr Can Biol Fish. 1927; 3(17): 407–22.
54. Hartman O. The marine annelids of North Carolina. Bull Duke Univ Mar Strn. 1945; 2: 1–54.
55. Hartman O. The littoral marine annelids of the Gulf of Mexico. Publ Inst Mar Sci, Port Aransas, Texas. 1951; 2(1): 7–124.
56. Hartman O. Quantitive survey of the benthos of San Pedro Basin, southern California. Part III. Systematics: Polychaetes. Allan Hancock Pac Exped. 1955; 27(3): 1–86.
57. Berkeley E, Berkeley C. Annelida. Polychaeta Sedentaria. Canadian Pacific Fauna. J Fish Res Board Can. 1952; 9b(2): 1–139.
58. Berkeley E, Berkeley C. Additions to the Polychaete fauna of Canada, with comments on some older records. J Fish Res Board Can. 1954; 11(4): 454–71.

59. Blake JA. Phylum Annelida: Class Polychaeta. In: Smith RI, Carlton JT, editors. *Light's Manual: Inter-tidal Invertebrates of the Central California Coast*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1975. pp. 151–243.
60. Light WJ. Spionidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) from San Francisco Bay, California: a revised list with nomenclatural changes, new records, and comments on related species from the northeastern Pacific Ocean. *Proc Biol Soc Wash*. 1977; 90(1): 66–88.
61. Light WJ. Spionidae (Polychaeta, Annelida). Lee WL, editor. Pacific Grove, California: The Boxwood Press; 1978. 211 pp.
62. Hobson KD, Banse K. Sedentary and archiannelid polychaetes of British Columbia and Washington. *Can Bull Fish Aquat Sci*. 1981; 209: 1–144.
63. Hernández-Alcántara P, González-Ortiz L, Solís-Weiss V. Los espionidos (Polychaeta: Spionidae) del Golfo de California y Golfo de Tehuantepec, México. *Rev Biol Trop*. 1994; 42(3): 567–77.
64. Blake JA. Family Spionidae Grube, 1850. Including a review of the genera and species from California and a revision of the genus *Polydora* Bosc, 1802. In: Blake JA, Hilbig B, Scott PH, editors. *Taxonomic Atlas of the Benthic Fauna of the Santa Maria Basin and Western Santa Barbara Channel Volume 6 The Annelida Part 3—Polychaeta: Orbiniidae to Cossuridae*. Santa Barbara, California: Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History; 1996. pp. 81–223.
65. Díaz-Castañeda V. The early establishment and development of a polychaete community settled on artificial substrata at Todos Santos Bay, Baja California, Mexico. *Bull Mar Sci*. 2000; 67(1): 321–35.
66. Hilbig B, Blake JA. Deep-sea polychaete communities in the Northeast Pacific Ocean off the Gulf of the Farallones, California. *Bull Mar Sci*. 2006; 78(2): 243–69.
67. Carrasco FD. Spionidae (Polychaeta) provenientes de la Bahía de Concepción y lugares adyacentes. *Bol Soc Biol Concepción*. 1974; 48: 185–201.
68. Carrasco FD, Gallardo VA. Abundance and distribution of the macrobenthic infauna of the Gulf of Arauco, Chile. *Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol*. 1983; 68(6): 825–38.
69. Carrasco FD, Gallardo VA, Medrano S. Sublittoral macrobenthic infaunal assemblages of two nearby embayments from Central Chile. *Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol*. 1988; 73(4): 441–55.
70. Hartmann-Schröder G. Beitrag zur Polychaetenfauna der Bahia Quillaiepe (Süd-Chile). *Helgol Meeresunters*. 1991; 45: 39–58.
71. Rozbaczylo N, Salgado P. Poliquetos Spionidae de fondos blandos submareales de la Bahía de Valparaíso, Chile (Annelida: Polychaeta). *Estud Oceanol*. 1993; 12: 17–28.
72. Quiroga E, Soto R, Rozbaczylo N. Los poliquetos espionidos (Polychaeta: Spionidae) y su importancia en la estructura de una comunidad. Un caso de estudio en Bahía Iquique, norte de Chile (20°11'S, 70°10'W). *Gayana*. 1999; 63(1): 1–16.
73. Montiel A, Quiroga E, Gerdes D. Diversity and spatial distribution patterns of polychaete assemblages in the Paso Ancho, Straits of Magellan Chile Cont Shelf Res. 2011; 31(3–4): 304–14.
74. Bailey-Brock JH. Habitats of tubicolous polychaetes from the Hawaiian Islands and Johnston Atoll. *Pac Sci*. 1976; 30(1): 69–81.
75. Ward LA. Spionidae (Polychaeta: Annelida) from Hawaii, with descriptions of five new species. *Proc Biol Soc Wash*. 1981; 94(3): 713–30.
76. Okuda S. Spioniform polychaetes from Japan. *J Fac Sci, Hokkaido Imp Univ Ser VI, Zool*. 1937; 5(3): 217–54.
77. Uschakov PV. Polychaetes from the Sea of Okhotsk. *Explor Far East Seas USSR*. 1950; 2: 140–237.
78. Uschakov PV. Polychaeta of the Far Eastern Seas of the USSR. Keys to the fauna of the USSR. 1955; 56: 1–445.
79. Annenkova NP. Polychaetous worms (Polychaeta) from the Chukotsk Sea and Bering Strait. Moscow-Leningrad: USSR Academy of Sciences Press; 1952. pp. 112–37.
80. Imajima M, Hartman O. The polychaetous annelids of Japan. Part II. Allan Hancock Found Publ, Occ Pap. 1964; 26: 236–452.
81. Wu BL, Sun RP, Shen SP. A preliminary report on the polychaete larvae in the plankton from the Zhongsha Islands, Guangdong Province, China. In: Zhongguo K, editor. *Research report on invertebrates in waters of Xisha and Zhongsha Islands, China* Nanhai Institute of Oceanography, Academia Sinica. Beijing: Science Press; 1978. pp. 171–200.
82. Mak PMS. The coral associated polychaetes of Hong Kong, with special reference to the serpulids. In: Morton BS, Tseng CK, editors. *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Hong Kong and Southern China Volume 2 Proceedings of the First International Marine Biological Workshop, Hong Kong, 1980*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 1982. pp. 595–617.

83. Buzhinskaja GN. Polychaeta of the shelf off south Sakhalin and their ecology. *Explor Fauna Seas*. 1985; 30(38): 72–224.
84. Buzhinskaja GN. Polychaetes of the Far East Seas of Russia and adjacent waters of the Pacific Ocean: annotated checklist and bibliography. Moscow: KMK Scientific Press; 2013. 131 pp.
85. Lee J-H. Distributional pattern of polychaetes in the benthic community of the Yellow Sea. *Bull Korean Fish Soc*. 1987; 20(3): 224–9.
86. Lee J-H, Chin P. Quantitative importance and species composition of polychaetes in the benthic community of the Yellow Sea. *Bull Korean Fish Soc*. 1989; 22(4): 189–95.
87. Paik E-I. Illustrated encyclopedia of fauna & flora of Korea. Vol. 31. Polychaeta. Seoul; 1989. 764 pp.
88. Imajima M. Spionidae (Annelida, Polychaeta) from Japan. VII. The genus *Spiophanes*. *Bull Natl Sci Mus, Tokyo, Ser A (Zool)*. 1991; 17(3): 115–37.
89. Choi J-W, Koh C-H. The distribution and feeding guilds of the polychaete community in the west coast off Kunsan, Korea. *J Oceanol Soc Korea*. 1992; 27(3): 197–209.
90. Sun DY. Annelida: Polychaeta. In: Zong-guo H, editor. Marine species and their distributions in China's seas. Beijing: China Ocean Press; 1994. pp. 343–77.
91. Paxton H, Chou LM. Polychaetous annelids from the South China Sea. *Raffles Bull Zool*. 2000; Supplement 8: 209–32.
92. Sigvaldadóttir E. Species of the family Spionidae (Polychaeta) from the Andaman Sea. *Phuket Mar Biol Cent Spec Publ*. 2002; 24: 237–46.
93. Zhou J. Annelida: Polychaeta: Spionidae. In: Liu JY, editor. Checklist of marine biota of China Seas. Beijing: Science Press; 2008. pp. 416–7.
94. Choi J-W. Chapter 14. Benthic Animals. In: Chang K-I, Zhang C-I, Park C, Kang D-J, Ju S-J, Lee S-H, et al., editors. *Oceanography of the East Sea (Japan Sea)*: Springer International Publishing; 2015. pp. 347–72.
95. Glasby CJ, Lee Y-L, Hsueh P-W. Marine Annelida (excluding clitellates and siboglinids) from the South China Sea. *Raffles Bull Zool Suppl*. 2016; 34: 178–234.
96. Gillet P. Biogeography and polychaete assemblages from subantarctic islands (Indian Ocean): Marion Dufresne MD/08 benthos expedition to Marion, Prince Edward and Crozet Islands. *Bull Mar Sci*. 1991; 48(2): 358–68.
97. Pati SK, Swain D, Sahu KC, Sharma RM. Chapter: 5. Diversity and distribution of polychaetes (Annelida: Polychaeta) along Maharashtra coast, India. In: Rawat M, Dookia S, Sivaperuman C, editors. *Aquatic Ecosystem: Biodiversity, Ecology and Conservation*. New Delhi: Springer; 2015. pp. 53–65.
98. Fauvel P. Contribution à la faune des Annélides Polychètes du Maroc. *Mém Soc Sci Nat Maroc*. 1936; 43: 1–143.
99. Kirkegaard JB. The Polychaeta of West Africa Part I. Sedentary species. *Atlantide Rep*. 1959; 5: 7–117.
100. Day JH. The Polychaet fauna of South Africa. Part 6. Sedentary species dredged off Cape coasts with a few new records from the shore. *J Linn Soc London, Zool*. 1961; 44(299): 463–560.
101. Day JH. A monograph on the Polychaeta of Southern Africa. Part 2. Sedentaria. London: The British Museum (Natural History); 1967. i–xvii, 459–878 pp.
102. Intes A, Le Lœuff P. Les Annélides Polychètes de Côte d'Ivoire. II.—Polychètes sédentaires—compte rendu systématique. *Cah ORSTOM, Sér Océanogr*. 1977; 15(3): 215–49.
103. Bayed A, Glémarec M. Apports de la mission Biomar à la connaissance des Annélides Polychètes des côtes du Maroc. *Bull Inst Sci Rabat*. 1987; 11: 147–53.
104. Blake JA, Kudenov JD. The Spionidae (Polychaeta) from southeastern Australia and adjacent areas with a revision of the genera. *Mem Natl Mus Vic*. 1978; 39: 171–280.
105. Hutchings P, Murray A. Taxonomy of polychaetes from the Hawkesbury River and the southern estuaries of New South Wales, Australia. *Rec Aust Mus, Suppl*. 1984; 3: 1–118. <https://doi.org/10.3853/j.0812-7387.3.1984.101>
106. Roper DS, Smith DG, Read GB. Benthos associated with two New Zealand coastal outflats. *NZ J Mar Fresh Res*. 1989; 23(2): 295–309.
107. Probert PK, Read GB, Grove SL, Rowden AA. Macrobenthic polychaete assemblages of the continental shelf and upper slope off the west coast of the South Island, New Zealand. *NZ J Mar Fresh Res*. 2001; 35(5): 971–84.
108. Augener H. Antarktische und antiboreale Polychaeten nebst einer Hirudinee. *Sci Res Norwegian Antarctic Exped 1927–1928*. 1932; 9: 1–86.
109. Hartman O. Polychaeta Myzostomidae and Sedentaria of Antarctica. *Antarct Res Ser*. 1966; 7: 1–158.

110. Meißner K, Hutchings PA. *Spiophanes* species (Polychaeta: Spionidae) from Eastern Australia—with description of new species, new records and an emended generic diagnosis. *Rec Aust Mus.* 2003; 55 (2): 117–40. <https://doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.55.2003.1379>
111. Meißner K, Blank M. *Spiophanes norrisi* sp. nov. (Polychaeta: Spionidae)—a new species from the NE Pacific coast, separated from the *Spiophanes bombyx* complex based on both morphological and genetic studies. *Zootaxa.* 2009; 2278: 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.191147>
112. Zachs IG. Polychaeta of the North Japan Sea. *Explor Seas USSR.* 1933; 19: 125–37.
113. Annenkova NP. Polychaeta of the North Japan Sea and their horizontal and vertical distribution. *Rep Hydrobiol Exped Zool Inst Acad Sci USSR in 1934 to the Sea of Japan.* 1938; 1: 81–230.
114. Buzhinskaja GN. On the ecology of the Polychaetous Annelids of the Possjet Bay (the Sea of Japan). *Explor Fauna Seas.* 1967; 5(13): 78–124.
115. Buzhinskaja GN, Britayev TA. The polychaete fauna of Vostok Bay (the Bay of Peter the Great, the Sea of Japan). In: Buzhinskaja GN, editor. *Polychaeta and their ecological significance (Explor Fauna Seas, 43 (51)).* St. Petersburg: Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences; 1992. pp. 82–98.
116. Imajima M. Polychaetous annelids around Sarufutsu, Northern Hokkaido. *Bull Natl Sci Mus, Tokyo, Ser A (Zool).* 1992; 25: 125–33.
117. Imajima M. Deep-sea benthic polychaetous annelids of Tosa Bay, southwestern Japan. *Natl Sci Mus Monogr.* 2001; 20: 31–100.
118. Imajima M. Polychaetous Annelids from Sagami Bay and the Sagami Sea, Central Japan. *Mem Natn Sci Mus, Tokyo.* 2006; 40: 317–408.
119. Carrasco FD. Larvas de la familia Spionidae (Polychaeta) en el plancton de la Bahía de Concepción, Chile. *Gayana, Zool.* 1976; 38: 1–63.
120. Struck TH, Schult N, Kusen T, Hickman E, Bleidorn C, McHugh D, et al. Annelid phylogeny and the status of Sipuncula and Echiura. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2007; 7(57): 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-57> PMID: 17411434
121. Aylagas E, Borja Á, Irigoien X, Rodríguez-Ezpeleta N. Benchmarking DNA metabarcoding for biodiversity-based monitoring and assessment. *Frontiers in Marine Science.* 2016; 3: 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00096>
122. Mincks SL, Dyal PL, Paterson GLJ, Smith CR, Glover AG. A new species of *Aurospio* (Polychaeta, Spionidae) from the Antarctic shelf, with analysis of its ecology, reproductive biology and evolutionary history. *Mar Ecol.* 2009; 30(2): 181–97.
123. Meißner K, Götting M. *Spionidae* (Annelida: 'Polychaeta': Canalipalpata) from Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia: the genera *Malacoceros*, *Scolecopsis*, *Spio*, *Microspio*, and *Spiophanes*. *Zootaxa.* 2015; 4019(1): 378–413. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4019.1.15> PMID: 26624075
124. Radashevsky VI, Neretina TV, Pankova VV, Tzetlin AB, Choi J-W. Molecular identity, morphology and taxonomy of the *Rhynchospio glutaea* complex with a key to *Rhynchospio* species (Annelida, Spionidae). *Syst Biodivers.* 2014; 12(4): 424–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2014.941039>
125. Radashevsky VI, Pankova VV, Neretina TV, Stupnikova AN, Tzetlin AB. Molecular analysis of the *Pygospio elegans* group of species (Annelida: Spionidae). *Zootaxa.* 2016; 4083(2): 239–50. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4083.2.4> PMID: 27394228
126. Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol.* 1994; 3 (5): 294–9. PMID: 7881515
127. Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma Ki, Miyata T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002; 30 (14): 3059–66. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436> PMID: 12136088
128. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2013; 30(4): 772–80. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010> PMID: 23329690
129. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2000; 17(4): 540–52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334> PMID: 10742046
130. Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov 2010.* New Orleans, LA: IEEE; 2010. pp. 1–8.
131. Posada D, Crandall KA. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics.* 1998; 14(9): 817–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817> PMID: 9918953

132. Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA. TCS: A computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Mol Ecol*. 2000; 9: 1657–9. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x> PMID: 11050560
133. Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2012; 29(8): 1969–73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss075> PMID: 22367748
134. Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1993; 10(3): 512–26. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023> PMID: 8336541
135. Baele G, Lemey P, Bedford T, Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Alekseyenko AV. Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model comparison while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2012; 29(9): 2157–67. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss084> PMID: 22403239
136. Baele G, Li WL, Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Lemey P. Accurate model selection of relaxed molecular clocks in bayesian phylogenetics. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2013; 30(2): 239–43. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss243> PMID: 23090976
137. Drummond AJ, Ho SY, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biol*. 2006; 4(5): e88. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040088> PMID: 16683862
138. Gernhard T. The conditioned reconstructed process. *J Theor Biol*. 2008; 253(4): 769–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.04.005> PMID: 18538793
139. Loeza-Quintana T, Carr CM, Khan T, Bhatt YA, Lyon SP, Hebert PDN, et al. Recalibrating the molecular clock for Arctic marine invertebrates based on DNA barcodes. *Genome*. 2019; 62(3): 200–16. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2018-0107> PMID: 30461309
140. Fauvel P. Polychètes sédentaires. Addenda aux Errantes, Archiannélides, Myzostomaires. *Faune Fr*. 1927; 16: 1–494.
141. Rullier F. Les Annélides Polychètes du Bosphore, de la Mer de Marmara et de la Mer Noire, en relation avec celles de la Méditerranée. *Rapp P-V Réun Com Int Explor Sci Mer Medit, Monaco*. 1963; 17(2): 161–260.
142. Bhaud M. Les larves planctoniques d'Annélides Polychètes; leur intérêt pour une meilleure connaissance faunistique. *Vie Milieu*. 1966; 17B(1): 435–7.
143. Bhaud M. Contribution à l'écologie des larves pélagiques d'Annélides Polychètes à Banyuls-sur-Mer. Comparaisons avec les régions septentrionales. *Vie Milieu*. 1967; 18B(2): 273–315.
144. Katzmann W. Die Polychaeten Rovinjs (Istrien/Jugoslawien). *Zool Anz*. 1972; 188(1–2): 116–44.
145. Cognetti-Varriale A-M, Zunarelli-Vandini R. Distribution des Polychètes sur les fonds meubles infra-littoraux dumolise (Adriatique). *Cah Biol Mar*. 1978; 19(1): 37–47.
146. Gambi MC, Giangrande A. Distribution of soft-bottom polychaetes in two coastal areas of the Tyrrhenian Sea (Italy): Structural analysis. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci*. 1986; 23(6): 847–62.
147. Sardá R. Polychaete communities related to plant covering in the mediolittoral and infralittoral zones of the Balearic Islands (Western Mediterranean). *Mar Ecol*. 1991; 12(4): 341–60.
148. Somaschini A. A Mediterranean fine-sand polychaete community and the effect of the tube-dwelling *Owenia fusiformis* DELLE CHIAJE on community structure. *Int Rev gesamten Hydrobiol Hydrograph*. 1993; 78(2): 219–33.
149. Martin D, Pinedo S, Sardá R. Distribution patterns and trophic structure of soft-bottom polychaete assemblages in a north-western Mediterranean shallow-water bay. *Ophelia*. 2000; 53(1): 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00785326.2000.10409431>
150. Simboura N, Nicolaidou A. The polychaetes (Annelida, Polychaeta) of Greece: checklist, distribution and ecological characteristics. *Monogr Mar Sci*. 2001; 4: 1–115.
151. Aleffi F, Bettoso N, Solis-Weiss V. Spatial distribution of soft-bottom polychaetes along western coast of the northern Adriatic Sea (Italy). *ANNALES, Ser Hist Nat*. 2003; 13(2): 211–22.
152. Ayari R, Afli A. Bionomie benthique du petit Golfe de Tunis. *Bull Inst Natn Scien Tech Mer de Salammbô*. 2003; 30: 79–90.
153. Ayari R, Afli A. Functional groups to establish the ecological quality of soft benthic fauna within Tunis Bay (western Mediterranean). *Vie Milieu*. 2008; 58: 67–75.
154. Dagli E, Ergen Z, Çinar ME. The significance of Spionidae (Annelida, Polychaeta) species living in the benthic environment the coasts of Turkey. *Turk Sucul Yasam Derg*. 2007; 3–5(5–8): 152–8.
155. Castelli A, Bianchi CN, Cantone G, Çinar ME, Gambi MC, Giangrande A, et al. Annelida Polychaeta. *Biol Mar Mediterr*. 2008; 15 (suppl.): 327–77.
156. Mutlu E, Çinar ME, Ergev MB. Distribution of soft-bottom polychaetes of the Levantine coast of Turkey, eastern Mediterranean Sea. *J Mar Syst*. 2010; 79: 23–35.

157. Lorenti M, Gambi MC, Guglielmo R, Patti FP, Scipione MB, Zupo V, et al. Soft-bottom macrofaunal assemblages in the Gulf of Salerno, Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy, an area affected by the invasion of the sea-weed *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea*. *Mar Ecol*. 2011; 32(3): 320–34.
158. Serrano LG, Cardell MJ, Lozoya JP, Sardá R. A polychaete-dominated community in the NW Mediterranean Sea, 20 years after cessation of sewage discharges. *Ital J Zool*. 2011; 78(S1): 333–46.
159. Çınar ME, Dagli E, Açıık S. Annelids (Polychaeta and Oligochaeta) from the Sea of Marmara, with descriptions of five new species. *J Nat Hist, London*. 2011; 45(33–34): 2105–43.
160. Çınar ME, Katagan T, Öztürk B, Bakır K, Dagli E, Açıık S, et al. Spatio-temporal distributions of zoo-benthos in soft substratum of Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea, eastern Mediterranean), with special emphasis on alien species and ecological quality status. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK*. 2012; 92(7): 1457–77. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315412000264>
161. Mikac B. A sea of worms: polychaete checklist of the Adriatic Sea. *Zootaxa*. 2015; 3943(1): 1–172. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3943.1.1> PMID: 25947537
162. Delgado-Blas VH, Diaz-Diaz O, Vieitez JM. On the diversity of the genus *Spiophanes* Grube, 1860 (Annelida: Spionidae) in the Spanish peninsular coast, with descriptions of two new species. *Cah Biol Mar*. 2019; 60(4): 335–51. <https://doi.org/10.21411/chm.a.f3ebdb5e>
163. Hannerz L. Larval development of the polychaete families Spionidae Sars, Disomidae Mesnil, and Poecilochaetidae n. fam. in the Gullmar Fjord (Sweden). *Zool Bidr Uppsala*. 1956; 31: 1–204.
164. Hartmann-Schröder G. Annelida, Borstenwürmer, Polychaeta. *Tierwelt Deutschlands*. 1971; 58: 1–594.
165. Hartmann-Schröder G. Annelida, Borstenwürmer, Polychaeta. *Tierwelt Deutschlands*. 1996; 58(2., neubearbeitete Auflage): 1–645.
166. Cazaux C. Recherche sur l'écologie et le développement larvaire des Polychètes de la région d'Arcachon. Thèse de Docteur ès Sciences Naturelles. Bordeaux: Université de Bordeaux; 1970. 355 pp.
167. Radashevsky VI, Yurchenko OV, Alexandrova YN. Fine structure of the gametes and spermiogenesis in *Spiophanes uschakowi* (Annelida: Spionidae) from the Sea of Japan, with comments on fertilization biology in broadcast-spawning spionids. *Micron*. 2018; 115(1): 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2018.08.005> PMID: 30153644
168. Annenkova NP. The Polychaeta fauna of the northern part of the Japan Sea. *Explor Mers d'USSR*. 1937; 23: 139–216.
169. Uschakov PV. Polychaeta. A list of the fauna of the sea waters of the South Sakhalin and South Kurile Islands. *Explor Far East Seas USSR*. 1959; 6: 201–8.
170. Pasternak FA. Quantitative distribution and faunistic composition of benthos of the Sakhalin Gulf and adjacent parts of Sea of Okhotsk. *Proc Inst Oceanol Acad Sci USSR*. 1957; 23: 237–68.
171. Koblikov VN. Polychaete distribution in Peter the Great Bay (Sea of Japan). *Proc Pac Res Inst Fish Oceanogr*. 1977; 101: 31–41.
172. Ozolinsh AV. Polychaetous worms (Sedentaria) from soft sediments of the subtidal zone of the Far East Marine Reserve. In: Gulbin VV, editor. *Systematics and ecology of organisms from the Far East Marine Reserve*. Vladivostok: FEB AS USSR; 1990. pp. 81–104.
173. Ozolinsh AV, Bagaveeva EV. Annelida. In: Tyurin AN, Drozdov AL, editors. *Far-East Marine Biospherical Reserve Biota V 2. 2*. Vladivostok: Dalnauka; 2004. pp. 135–46.
174. Radashevsky VI. Spionidae (Annelida) from shallow waters around the British Islands: an identification guide for the NMBAQC Scheme with an overview of spionid morphology and biology. *Zootaxa*. 2012; 3152: 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.209619>
175. Hernández-Alcántara P, Solís-Weiss V. Systematics and distribution of the polychaetes (Annelida: Polychaeta) from the sublittoral zone in the Gulf of California. *Océanides*. 1999; 13(2): 25–38.
176. Méndez N. Annelid assemblages in soft bottoms subjected to human impact in the Urias estuary (Sinaloa, Mexico). *Oceanol Acta*. 2002; 25(3–4): 139–47.
177. Díaz-Castañeda V, Valenzuela-Solano S. Polychaete fauna in the vicinity of bluefin tuna sea-cages in Ensenada, Baja California, Mexico. *Zoosymposia*. 2009; 2: 505–26.
178. Blake JA. Spionida. In: Rouse G, Pleijel F, editors. *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Annelida Vol 4 of Series: Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny*. Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny. Enfield, NH: Science Publisher; 2006. pp. 565–638.
179. Berkeley C. A checklist of Polychaeta recorded from British Columbia since 1923, with references to name changes, descriptions, and synonymies. II. Sedentaria. *Can J Zool*. 1968; 46: 557–67.
180. Carey AG Jr. Ecological observation on the benthic invertebrates from the central Oregon continental shelf. In: Pruter AF, Alverson DL, editors. *The Columbia River Estuary and adjacent ocean waters: Bioenvironmental studies*. Seattle: University of Washington Press; 1996. pp. 422–43.

181. Macdonald TA, Burd BJ, Macdonald VI, van Roodselaar A. Taxonomic and feeding guild classification for the marine benthic macroinvertebrates of the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia. *Can Tech Rep Fish Aquat Sci.* 2010; 2874: 1–63.
182. Carrasco FD. Sublittoral macrobenthic fauna off Punta Coloso, Antofagasta, Northern Chile: High persistence of the polychaete assemblage. *Bull Mar Sci.* 1997; 60(2): 443–59.
183. Mendez MM, Schwindt E, Bortolus A. Relationships between macroinfaunal invertebrates and physicochemical factors in two sandy beaches of Patagonia. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK.* 2010; 90(3): 429–35.
184. Hartmann-Schröder G. Zur Kenntnis des Sublitorals der chilenischen Küste unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Polychaeten und Ostracoden. (Mit Bemerkungen über den Einfluß sauerstoffarmer Strömungen auf die Besiedlung von marinen Sedimenten). Teil II: Die Polychaeten des Sublitorals. *Mitt Hambg Zool Mus Inst.* 1965; 62(Erg. Bd.): 59–305.
185. Chamberlin RV. New polychaetous annelids from Laguna Beach, California. *Pomona College J Entomol Zool.* 1919; 11(1): 1–23.
186. Hartman O. Some contributions to the biology and life history of Spionidae from California. With keys to species and genera and descriptions of two new forms. *Allan Hancock Pac Exped.* 1941; 7(4): 289–323.
187. Hartman O. Submarine canyons of southern California. Part III. Systematics: Polychaetes. *Allan Hancock Pac Exped.* 1963; 27(3): 1–93.
188. Reish DJ, Winter HA. The ecology of Alamitos Bay, California, with special reference to pollution. *Calif Fish Game.* 1954; 40(2): 105–21.
189. Barnard JL, Reish DJ. Ecology of Amphipoda and Polychaeta of Newport Bay, California. *Allan Hancock Found Publ, Occ Pap.* 1959; 21: 1–106.
190. Reish DJ, Barnard JL. The benthic polychaeta and amphipoda of Morro Bay, California. *Proc US Natn Mus.* 1967; 120(3565): 1–26.
191. Reish DJ. A biological survey of Bahia de Los Angeles, Gulf of California, Mexico. II. Benthic polychaetous annelids. *Trans San Diego Soc Nat Hist.* 1968; 15(7): 67–106. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.12054>
192. Reish DJ. Invertebrates, especially benthic annelids in outer Anaheim Bay. *Fish Bull Calif Dep Fish Game.* 1975; 165: 73–8.
193. Kawwling TJ, Reish DJ. A quantitative study of the benthic polychaetous annelids of Anaheim Bay and Huntington Bay, California. *Fish Bull Calif Dep Fish Game.* 1975; 165: 57–68.
194. Maurer D, Gerlinger T, Nguyen H. The response of two spionid polychaetes to natural processes and anthropogenic activities on the San Pedro Shelf, California. *Ophelia.* 1998; 48(3): 185–206.
195. Salazar-Vallejo SI, Londoño-Mesa MH. Lista de especies y bibliografía de poliquetos (Polychaeta) del Pacífico Oriental Tropical. *An Inst Biol, Univ Nac Auton Mex, Ser Zool.* 2004; 75(1): 9–97.
196. Hernández-Alcántara P, Solís-Weiss V. Distribution of the Polychaete assemblages on the continental shelf of the Northern Gulf of California, Eastern Pacific. *Ital J Zool.* 2011; 78(S1): 280–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11250003.2011.580660>
197. Blake JA, Ruff RE. Annelida: Polychaeta. In: Carlton JT, editor. *The Light & Smith manual: intertidal invertebrates from central California to Oregon.* Berkeley, Los Angeles: University of California Press; 2007. pp. 309–410.
198. Schiff K, Greenstein D, Dodder N, Gillett DJ. Southern California Bight regional monitoring. *Reg Stud Mar Sci.* 2016; 4: 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2015.09.003>
199. Hartman O. Catalogue of the Polychaetous Annelids of the World. *Allan Hancock Found Publ, Occ Pap.* 1959; 23: 1–628.
200. Grube AE. Beschreibung neuer oder wenig bekannter Anneliden. *Arch Naturgesch, Berlin.* 1860; 26(1): 71–118.
201. Hartman O. Non-pelagic Polychaeta of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903. *Furth Zool Res Swedish Antarctic Exped 1901–1903.* 1953; 4(11): 1–83.
202. Orensanz JM, Gianuca NM. Contribuição ao conhecimento dos anelídeos poliquetas do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. I. Lista sistemática preliminar e descrição de três novas espécies. *Comun Mus Cienc PUCRS.* 1974; 4: 1–37.
203. Rozbaczylo N, Castilla JC. Invertebrados marinos del Archipiélago de Juan Fernández. In: Castilla JC, editor. *Ediciones Universidad Católica de Chile;* 1987. pp. 167–89.
204. Cañete JI, Leighton GL, Aguilera FF. Polychaetes from Aysén Fjord, Chile: distribution, abundance and biogeographical comparison with the shallow soft-bottom polychaete fauna from Antarctica and the Magellan Province. *Sci Mar.* 1999; 63(Supl. 1): 243–52.

205. Maciolek NJ. New species and records of *Aonidella*, *Laonice*, and *Spiophanes* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) from shelf and slope depth of the western north Atlantic. *Bull Mar Sci*. 2000; 67(1): 529–47.
206. Lancellotti DA, Stotz WB. Effects of shoreline discharge of iron mine tailings on a marine soft-bottom community in northern Chile. *Mar Pollut Bull*. 2004; 48(3–4): 303–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.08.005> PMID: 14972582
207. Palma M, Quiroga E, Gallardo VA, Arntz W, Gerdes D, Schneider W, et al. Macrobenthic animal assemblages of the continental margin off Chile (22° to 42° S). *J Mar Biol Assoc UK*. 2005; 85(2): 233–45. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315405011112h>
208. Morgado EH, Amaral ACZ. Anelídeos poliquetos da região de Ubatuba (SP)—padrões de distribuição geográfica. *Rev Bras Zool*. 1989; 6(3): 535–68. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81751989000300013>
209. Paiva PC. Trophic structure of a shelf polychaete taxocenosis in southern Brazil. *Cah Biol Mar*. 1993; 35(1): 39–55.
210. Paiva PC. Anelídeos poliquetas da plataforma continental norte do Estado de São Paulo: I—Padrões de densidade e diversidade específica. *Bol Inst Oceanogr*. 1993; 41(1/2): 69–80.
211. Muniz P, Pires AMS. Trophic structure of polychaetes in the São Sebastião Channel (southeastern Brazil). *Mar Biol (Berlin)*. 1999; 134(3): 517–28.
212. Arasaki E, Muniz P, Pires-Vanin AMS. A functional analysis of the benthic macrofauna of the São Sebastião Channel (Southeastern Brazil). *Mar Ecol*. 2004; 25(4): 249–63.
213. Santi L, Tavares M. Polychaete assemblages of an impacted estuary, Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Braz J Oceanogr*. 2009; 57(4): 287–303.
214. Scarabino F. Faunística y taxonomía de invertebrados bentónicos marinos y estuarinos de la costa uruguaya. In: Menafría R, Rodríguez-Gallego L, Scarabino F, Conde D, editors. *Montevideo: Vida Silvestre Uruguay*; 2006. pp. 113–42.
215. Pagliosa PR, Doria JG, Alves GF, Almeida TCM, Lorenzi L, Netto SA, et al. Polychaetes from Santa Catarina State (southern Brazil): checklist and remarks on species distribution. *Zootaxa*. 2012: 1–49.
216. Shimabukuro M, Bromberg S, Pires-Vanin AMS. Polychaete distribution on the southwestern Atlantic continental shelf. *Mar Biol Res*. 2016: 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2015.1131299>
217. Nordenskjöld OG. Die Schwedische Südpolar-Expedition und ihre geographische Tätigkeit. (Volume 1 of: Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Swedischen Sudpolar-Expedition, 1901–1903). Stockholm: Lithographisches Institut des Generalstabs; 1911.
218. Hartman O. Polychaetous annelids collected by the USNS Eltanin and Staten Island cruises, chiefly from Antarctic Seas. *Allan Hancock Monogr Mar Biol*. 1967; 2: 1–387.
219. Parapar J, López E, Gambi MC, Núñez J, Ramos A. Quantitative analysis of soft-bottom polychaetes of the Bellingshausen Sea and Gerlache Strait (Antarctica). *Polar Biol*. 2011; 34: 715–30.
220. Norte-Campos AGC, Palla RQ. Distribution of family Spionidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) in the Calamianes Islands, northern Palawan, Philippines. *Coast Mar Sci*. 2006; 30(1): 133–9.
221. Joydas TV, Qurban MA, Al-Suwailam A, Krishnakumar PK, Nazeer Z, Cali NA. Macrobenthic community structure in the northern Saudi waters of the Gulf, 14 years after the 1991 oil spill. *Mar Pollut Bull*. 2012; 64(2): 325–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.11.007> PMID: 22136761
222. Hartwich G. Die Polychaeten-Typen des Zoologischen Museums in Berlin. *Mitt Zool Mus Berl*. 1993; 69(1): 73–154.
223. Laubier L. Un spionidien des vases bathyales de Banyuls-sur-Mer, *Spiophanes kroyeri reynssi* ssp. n. *Bull Soc Zool Fr*. 1964; 89(4): 562–77.
224. Sars GO. Diagnoser af nye Annelider fra Christianiafjorden, efter Professor M. Sars's efterladte Manuskripter. *Forhand Vidensk-Selskab Christiania*. 1872; for the year 1871: 406–17.
225. Sars M. Bidrag til Kundskaben om Christianiafjordens Fauna. III. Væsentlig udarbejdet efter Prof. Dr. M. Sars's efterladte Manuskripter. *Nyt Mag Naturvidensk*. 1873; 19: 201–81.
226. Jelsing J. Ultrastructural studies of dorsal ciliated organs in Spionidae (Annelida: Polychaeta). *Hydrobiologia*. 2003; 496(1–3): 241–51. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026105200983>
227. Zettler ML, Beermann J, Dannheim J, Ebbe B, Grotjahn M, Günther C-P, et al. An annotated checklist of macrozoobenthic species in German waters of the North and Baltic Seas. *Helgol Mar Res*. 2018; 72(5): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s10152-018-0507-5>
228. Berkeley E, Berkeley C. Notes on Polychaeta from the coast of western Canada. 1. Spionidae. *Ann Mag Nat Hist, London, Ser 10*. 1936; 18: 468–77.
229. Berkeley E, Berkeley C. Some further records of pelagic Polychaeta from the northeast Pacific north of latitude 40°N. and east of longitude 175°W., together with records of Siphonophora, Mollusca and Tunicata from the same region. *Can J Zool*. 1960; 38(4): 787–99. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z60-083>

230. Tauber P. Annulata Danica. En kritisk Revision af de i Danmark fundne Annulata, Chaetognatha, Gephyrea, Balanoglossi, Discophorea, Oligochaeta, Gymnocolpa og Polychaeta. Copenhagen; 1879. 143 pp.
231. Kirkegaard JB. Havbørsteorme. II. Sedentaria. Danmarks Fauna. 1996; 86: 1–451.
232. Pettibone MH. New species of polychaete worms (Spionidae: *Spiophanes*) from the east and west coast of North America. Proc Biol Soc Wash. 1962; 75: 77–88.
233. Lana PC, Santos CSG, Garraffoni ARS, Oliveira VM, Radashevsky VI. Checklist of polychaete species from Paraná State (Southern Brazil). Check List. 2006; 2(3): 30–63. <https://doi.org/10.15560/2.3.30>
234. Örsted AS. Annulatorum danicorum conspectus. Fasc. I. Maricolæ. Havniæ [Copenhagen]: Sumtibus Librariæ Wahlmanæ; 1843. 52 pp.
235. Örsted AS. Zur Classification der Annulaten, mit Beschreibung einiger neuer oder unzulänglich bekannter Gattungen und Arten. Arch Naturgesch, Berlin. 1844; 10(1): 99–112.
236. Thulin G. Biologisch-faunistische Untersuchungen aus dem Öresund. VI. Über *Cossura longocirrata* Webster und Benedict und über die Röhren von *Disoma multisetosum* Örsted. Lunds Univ Årsskrift, NF, Avd 2. 1921; 17(10): 1–14.
237. Ditlevsen H. Polychæta. Zool Faroes. 1929; 16: 1–83.
238. Wesenberg-Lund E. Polychæta. Danish Ingolf-Exped. 1950; 4(14): 1–92.
239. Pettibone MH. Marine polychaete worms of the New England region. I. Families Aphroditidae through Trochochaetidae. Bull US Natl Mus. 1963; 227(1): 1–356.
240. Weitbrecht BE. Muscular anatomy of *Trochochaeta multisetosum* (Polychaeta; Trochochaetidae). In: Hutchings PA, editor. Proceedings of the First International Polychaete Conference, Sydney. Sydney: The Linnean Society of New South Wales; 1984. pp. 401–12.
241. Hartman O. *Disoma franciscanum*, a new marine annelid from California. J Wash Acad Sci. 1947; 37(5): 160–9.
242. Blake JA, Arnofsky PL. Reproduction and larval development of the spioniform Polychaeta with application to systematics and phylogeny. Hydrobiologia. 1999; 402: 57–106. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003784324125>
243. Radashevsky VI, Rizzo AE, Peixoto AJM. First record of *Trochochaeta japonica* (Annelida: Spionidae) in Brazil with identification key to species of the genus. Zootaxa. 2018; 4462(4): 566–78. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4462.4.8> PMID: 30313460
244. Gladenkov AY, Oleinik AE, Marincovich L Jr, Barinov KB. A refined age for the earliest opening of Bering Strait. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. 2002; 183(3–4): 321–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(02\)00249-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(02)00249-3)
245. Gladenkov AY, Gladenkov Y, B. Onset of connections between the Pacific and Arctic Oceans through the Bering Strait in the Neogene. Stratigr Geol Correl. 2004; 12(2): 175–87.
246. Herman Y, Hopkins DM. Arctic oceanic climate in Late Cenozoic time. Science. 1980; 209(4456): 557–62. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.209.4456.557> PMID: 17756821
247. Maslin MA, Haug GH, Sarnthein M, Tiedemann R. The progressive intensification of northern hemisphere glaciation as seen from the North Pacific. Geol Rundsch. 1996; 85(3): 452–68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02369002>
248. Haug GH, Sigman DM, Tiedemann R, Pedersen TF, Sarnthein M. Onset of permanent stratification in the subarctic Pacific Ocean. Nature. 1999; 401(6755): 779–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/44550>
249. Harris SA. Thermal history of the Arctic Ocean environs adjacent to North America during the last 3.5 Ma and a possible mechanism for the cause of the cold events (major glaciations and permafrost events). Prog Phys Geogr. 2005; 29(2): 218–37. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0309133305pp444ra>
250. Horikawa K, Martin EE, Basak C, Onodera J, Seki O, Sakamoto T, et al. Pliocene cooling enhanced by flow of low-salinity Bering Sea water to the Arctic Ocean. Nature Communications. 2015; 6(7587): 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8587> PMID: 26119338
251. Hewitt G. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature. 2000; 405(6789): 907–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000> PMID: 10879524
252. Valentine JW. Numerical analysis of marine molluscan ranges on the extratropical northeastern Pacific shelf. Limnol Oceanogr Methods. 1966; 11(2): 198–211. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1966.11.2.0198>
253. Burton RS. Intraspecific phylogeography across the Point Conception biogeographic boundary. Evolution. 1998; 52(3): 734–45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb03698.x> PMID: 28565261

254. Hellberg ME, Balch DP, Roy K. Climate-driven range expansion and morphological evolution in a marine gastropod. *Science*. 2001; 292(5522): 1707–10. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060102> PMID: [11387473](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11387473/)
255. Blanchette CA, Melissa Miner C, Raimondi PT, Lohse D, Heady KEK, Broitman BR. Biogeographical patterns of rocky intertidal communities along the Pacific coast of North America. *J Biogeogr*. 2008; 35(9): 1593–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.01913.x>
256. Schulze SR, Rice SA, Simon JL, Karl SA. Evolution of poecilogony and the biogeography of North American populations of the polychaete *Streblospio*. *Evolution*. 2000; 54(4): 1247–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2000.tb00558.x> PMID: [11005292](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11005292/)
257. Palumbi SR. Nucleic acids. II. The polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK, editors. *Molecular Systematics*, 2nd edition. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer; 1996. pp. 205–81.